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Due to the compact nature of bacterial genomes, large, highly structured noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs) are rare, yet when present these large ncRNAs perform sophisticated biochemical functions, often as ribozymes. Even rarer are DNAs that adapt complex single-stranded structures, as natural opportunities for such structures to form and evolve are limited. Presented in this thesis is research on one large ncRNA and three highly structured, single-stranded DNAs (ssDNAs) that expands our knowledge of the functional capabilities of structured nucleic acids.

OLE (Ornate, Large, Extremophilic) RNA is among the largest and most widespread ncRNAs in bacteria without an ascribed biochemical function. The RNA and its protein partner OapA (OLE-associated protein A) are required in *Bacillus halodurans* for proper adaption to cold temperatures, short chain alcohols, and slightly elevated magnesium. Surprisingly, mutating a conserved DxxxD motif in OapA to AxxxA (named the PM1 strain) causes *B. halodurans* to become even more sensitive to these stresses but only when OLE RNA is also expressed. This phenotype allowed a genetic screen to reveal additional components to the OLE ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex, leading to the discovery of YbzG (renamed OapB) as a second OLE RNA-interacting protein and the focus of Chapter 2. OapB is a small (11 kDa), previously uncharacterized protein with a putative RNA binding domain that binds to the P13 region of OLE RNA with subnanomolar affinity ($K_D \sim 700$ pM). This interaction
requires the presence of a GNRA tetraloop, though additional contacts are required, as a hairpin with a GNRA tetraloop is insufficient for complex formation. The regions of OLE RNA that OapA and OapB interact with do not overlap, suggesting that the three components can come together to form the OLE RNP complex. I collaborated in an effort led by Dr. Yang Yang to determine the crystal structure of OapB in complex with a subregion of OLE RNA resolved to 2.1 Å, confirming that OapB interacts with the P13 GNRA tetraloop and revealing additional contacts in the P13 and P12.2 stems of OLE RNA. In addition to being found in nearly all ole-containing organisms, OapB is found in 1,670 species that lack the ole gene. To answer the question of what target RNA sites might look like in organisms that lack ole, I generate a consensus model for OapB-RNA interactions through in vitro selection of mutagenized OLE RNA constructs. This model can be used by future researchers to uncover the function of OapB in species that lack ole.

In addition to examining the composition of the OLE RNP complex I performed RNA-seq to explore the effect of the OLE RNA on gene expression, highlighted in Chapter 3. These RNA-seq datasets of wild type (WT), Δole-oapA, and PM1 strains of B. halodurans grown under either standard or stressed conditions (24 °C, 3% EtOH w/v, or 5 mM MgCl₂) revealed that multiple metal ion transporters are differentially regulated between WT and Δole-oapA or PM1 strains. In addition to my transcriptomics data and the magnesium sensitivity phenotype, bioinformatic evidence also supports the idea that the OLE RNP complex is involved in magnesium homeostasis. The B. halodurans oapA gene is a homolog of the Aeribacillus pallidus citMHS gene, which encodes a magnesium/citrate symporter. If the OLE RNP complex is involved in magnesium homeostasis I hypothesize that one function of OLE RNA may be to act as a fine-tuned sensor for intracellular Mg²⁺,
switching from one conformation to another as Mg$^{2+}$ concentrations move outside ideal intracellular ranges. RNA is known to undergo structural changes as magnesium concentrations increase, and in the case of magnesium riboswitches those structural changes represent biologically relevant on and off states. I also hypothesize that this conformational switching may regulate OapA. To test this hypothesis, I have conducted in-line probing experiments on OLE RNA at varying Mg$^{2+}$ concentrations. While my initial results reveal that OLE RNA undergoes a conformation change at biologically relevant Mg$^{2+}$ concentrations, significant additional work is needed to test whether or not this conformational change is indeed a real regulatory mechanism.

Chapter 4 moves away from OLE RNA and focuses on three extraordinarily structured ssDNAs, the HEARO (HNH Endonuclease-Associated RNA and ORF), IS605-orfB-I, and IS605-orfB-II motifs. Each of these motifs is associated with the IS605 superfamily of transposons, a class of mobile genetic elements that transpose via an obligatory ssDNA intermediate. Prior to my work HEARO was believed to function as an RNA. Through bioinformatics, I have established a connection between HEARO and IS605 transposons on both the protein and nucleic acid level, showing that the motif almost certainly functions as an ssDNA. Furthermore, I have determined the phylogenetic distribution and frequency of HEARO elements per genome. For all three motifs I have compared ssDNA structure at the 5’ and 3’ ends of the transposons and analyzed the domain architecture of the TnpB homologs in comparison to canonical IS605 TnpB. Combined, these motifs represent three of the most complex natural ssDNAs and provide powerful insight into the evolution of such motifs.
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Chapter One

Introduction: Large Noncoding Nucleic Acids in Bacteria
Bioinformatics as a tool for the discovery of structured nucleic acids

Comparative sequence analysis is a powerful tool for discovery of structured ncRNAs in prokaryotes. Bacteria and Archaea have compact genomes with far less intergenic sequence space than Eukaryotes, making it possible to systematically search through intergenic regions (IGRs) for novel ncRNAs. The ncRNAs uncovered by this method vary greatly in size, distribution, and function. They can be members of established RNA classes such as riboswitches, T-box RNAs, attenuators, or self-cleaving ribozymes or represent previously unknown classes of ncRNAs. The same methods that reveal ncRNAs can also uncover structured DNA motifs, though these are rarer, and few structured DNAs have verified functions (Bikard et al. 2010, Weinberg et al. 2017). Several different approaches have successfully generated these motifs, as listed below:

1) *Linage and environmental strategies*- This approach involves pooling bacterial IGRs by phylogenetic linage or source in the case of environmental metagenomes. It is particularly useful in identification of narrowly distributed ncRNAs (Weinberg et al. 2017).

2) *Large IGRs*- Structured ncRNAs are overrepresented in large IGRs. To take advantage of this natural enrichment, IGRs exceeding 600 nucleotides are pooled and searched for homologous sequences.

3) *Clustering by downstream genes*- For certain ncRNAs, such as riboswitches, the identity of downstream genes may be conserved in a large portion of examples. Through identification of genes likely to be associated with ncRNAs this targeted search method can dramatically reduce the number of IGRs that must be analyzed before finding a ncRNA (Weinberg et al. 2017).
4) *Proximity to genetic elements of interest*- Like searching through ‘clustering by downstream genes,’ searching by ‘proximity to genetic elements of interest’ takes advantage of the tendency of certain structured ncRNAs to exist near specific genes and domains. However, with this method the specific location of the structured ncRNA in relation to the genetic element of interest is more flexible. Therefore, this method looks at all IGRs within 6 kilobases of a target genetic element. Searching for ncRNAs through proximity to genetic elements of interest has been particularly fruitful in revealing novel self-cleaving ribozymes (Li et al. 2015, Harris et al. 2015).

5) *GC-IGR*- The GC-IGR method takes advantage of two common characteristics of ncRNAs, that they typically have high guanosine and cytidine (GC) content and that they reside within large IGRs. When all IGRs from a bacterial genome are plotted by IGR length versus percentage GC content, IGRs containing known ncRNAs cluster together (Meyer et al. 2009, Stav et al. 2019). Analyzing only the IGRs within that cluster enriches for novel ncRNAs.

Once a potential motif is identified, it is refined with the comparative sequence algorithms CMfinder (Yao et al. 2006) and Infernal 1.1 (Nawrocki and Eddy. 2013). Refined motifs are evaluated based on conservation of primary and secondary structure, phylogenetic distribution, and gene context. Methods for functional validation vary greatly depending on the predicted class of ncRNA (or ssDNA).

**Large noncoding RNAs**
In Eukaryotes long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) are involved primarily in regulation of genes, loci, or entire chromosomes (Statello et al. 2021). As gene regulation is less complex in Prokaryotes due to the compact nature of their genomes and the extreme rarity of cellular differentiation, the RNAs involved in such processes are different. The regulatory RNAs of bacteria, such as riboswitches, T-box RNAs, sRNAs, and attenuators, are typically less than 200 nucleotides in length (Zhang and Ferre-D'Amare. 2015, Hoe et al. 2013, Weinberg et al. 2017). Bacteria do however encode a handful of large, highly structured noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs), many of which perform essential roles (Harris and Breaker. 2018) These large ncRNAs include well-studied classes such as 23S and 16S rRNA, RNase P, tmRNA, and Group I and II introns. Other classes such as GOLLD, ROOL, raiA, MISL, HOLDH, IMES-1, and Bacteriodales-2 have little known about them, some having only been observed through bioinformatics (Weinberg et al. 2017). One class, T-Large RNA, is a variation on a Group II self-slicing intron that produces a circularly permuted product (A. Roth, Z. Weinberg, K. Vanderschuren, M. H. Murdock, E. Poiata, and R. R. Breaker, unpublished data). Although a biochemical function for T-Large RNA has been established, its biological role remains unknown. While large ncRNAs are rare in bacteria their breadth of functions is wide and they frequently represent novel role for RNA. Studying these ncRNAs will likely reveal sophisticated and varied biochemical mechanisms that will expand our knowledge of RNA’s capabilities.

**OLE RNA**

Of the bacterial large ncRNAs with an unknown function, OLE (Ornate, Large, Extremophilic) RNA is the most widespread and among the largest. Nearly 800 examples
of OLE RNA have been identified in environmental metagenomes and a wide variety of extremophilic Firmicutes, including species that are thermophilic, halophilic, alkalophilic, anaerobic, and solvent tolerant (Puerta-Fernandez et al. 2006). OLE RNA contains an exceptionally high degree of primary and secondary structure conservation. It contains 96 nucleotides that are at least 97% conserved and 24 pairing elements that demonstrate covariation in its over 600 nucleotides of sequence, suggests that it performs a complex biological role.

The ole gene is found in a conserved operon containing genes for isoprenoid biosynthesis, DNA repair, coenzyme metabolism, rRNA transcription and maturation, and arginine biosynthesis (Harris et al. 2018). The most notable feature of this operon is that in species where the operon contains the ole gene, and only in those species, a second novel gene is also present (Puerta-Fernandez et al. 2006). The role of that gene, named oapA (OLE-associated protein A), was presumed to be tied to the function of OLE RNA, and indeed, OapA forms a membrane bound dimer that localizes OLE RNA to the cell membrane, an extremely unusual feature for a large ncRNA (Block et al. 2010). The only other large noncoding bacterial RNA to do so is SRP RNA, which recruits the ribosome to the cellular membrane for the translation of secretory and transmembrane proteins (Herskovits et al. 2000).

In an attempt to uncover why this large ncRNA localizes to the cell membrane, ole, oapA, or ole-oapA were knocked out of Bacillus halodurans. Broad phenotype testing revealed that these knockout strains become sensitive to cold, short chain alcohols, and slightly elevated magnesium (Mg$^{2+}$) (Wallace et al. 2012, Harris et al. 2019). Surprisingly, these phenotypes are made more severe by a mutation to an invariable DxxxD motif
(mutated to AxxxA) in OapA. This phenotype, called PM1 (protein mutant 1), only occurs if OLE RNA is also expressed and does not lead to any additional sensitivities (Harris et al. 2018). The heightened severity of the PM1 phenotype allowed a genetic screen identifying mutants that alleviated extreme sensitivity to cold (Harris et al. 2018). From this screen a second OLE RNA-interacting protein was discovered, OapB (OLE-associated protein B), which is discussed in Chapter 2.

While studies of OapB will be important for future experiments involving reconstitution of the OLE ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex, no immediate insights into function were gained from these studies. To more directly address the question of function I turned to RNA-seq, analyzing transcripts from wild type (WT), Δole-oapA, and PM1 B. halodurans strains under standard and stressed growth conditions. The results, discussed in Chapter 3, showed that multiple metal ion transporters were differentially regulated between WT and strains with a disrupted OLE RNP complex (Δole-oapA and PM1). Two of the differentially regulated genes were Mg²⁺ importers, adding to the evidence that the OLE RNP complex might play a role in Mg²⁺ homeostasis. In addition to being one of the three observed sensitivities when ole and/or oapA are knocked out, OapA has been bioinformatically linked to Mg²⁺ transporters. Although OapA has no close homologs, it does contain a DUF21 domain and the protein that OapA shares the greatest homology with is CitMHS, a Mg²⁺ and citrate symporter (Harris et al. 2019). Other proteins containing the DUF21 domain and notable sequence similarity to OapA are also Mg²⁺ transporters (Akanuma et al. 2014, Armitano et al. 2016, Harris et al. 2019). In Chapter 3 I further explore the connection between the OLE RNP complex and Mg²⁺ homeostasis and
present preliminary work investigating a potential mechanism for regulation of intracellular Mg$^{2+}$ concentration by OLE RNA.

**Structured single-stranded DNAs in Bacteria**

While it has long been understood that RNA molecules can form complex secondary and tertiary structures, the ability of single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) to fold into a variety of biologically important forms has received far less attention. Because DNA is typically found in the iconic double helical structure, opportunities for the evolution of sophisticated ssDNAs are limited. There are however several circumstances in which DNA exists in a single-stranded form for a prolonged time, such as the transposition of certain mobile elements, the replication of rolling-circle plasmids, and the genomes of ssDNA viruses. While these mobile elements, plasmids, and viruses are highly enriched for structured DNAs, there are also classes of structured DNAs found within genomes. Within the genome ssDNAs can be found as G-quadruplexes, attC sites, and msDNA. Described below are the most common ssDNA motifs, as well as a few rarer motifs that exhibit exceptional structural complexity.

**G-quadruplexes:**

Guanine quadruplexes are a non-B form DNA structure that requires four repeats of two or more guanine resides each. Under favorable conditions the four repeats use Hoogsteen base pairing to form a stacked quadruplex. G-quadruplexes are common in Eukaryotic and Prokaryotic organisms and can function at the RNA or DNA level.
Within Prokaryotes the most well studied system of DNA G-quadruplexes is in *Neisseria meningitidis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, where they are implicated in initiating antigenic variation in flanking coding sequence through recombination with silent loci throughout the genome (Sechman et al. 2005, Cahoon et al. 2009, Cahoon and Seifert. 2013, Prister et al. 2020). In this system, transcription of an sRNA allows the quadruplex to form by opening up the dsDNA (Prister et al. 2020). Formation of the G-quadruplex then initiates a nonreciprocal, homologous recombination process (Meyer et al. 1984, Haas and Meyer. 1986).

In genera other than *Neisseria*, G-quadruplexes have been shown to have important albeit understudied roles. In *Deinococcus radiodurans* G-quadruplex formation appears to be involved in upregulation of certain radioresistance genes (Beaume et al. 2013). In *Escherichia coli* G-quadruplexes may also influence gene expression, as shown by a study that introduced a GFP gene with a G-quadruplex into the promotor region of several different locations in the genome (Holder and Hartig. 2014). Position in the genome and whether the gene was leading or lagging strand affected expression differently when the G-quadruplex was present (Holder and Hartig. 2014). The apparent differences in function between species suggests that G-quadruplexes are capable of performing myriad roles that can be tailored to fit the needs of a specific species.

*attC* sites:

*attC* sites are essential features of integrons, a highly adaptable system composed of an array of interchangeable genes referred to as cassettes. Integrons allow bacteria to store, shuffle, acquire, and excise open reading frames (ORFs) on demand. The system
contains the integrase IntI, a tyrosine recombinase that excises and inserts cassettes, an \textit{attI} site, where the new and shuffled cassettes are integrated into the genome, a promoter (P\textsubscript{C}), and anywhere from one to over 200 gene cassettes (Escudero et al. 2015). In addition to containing a gene each cassette includes a 3’ \textit{attC} site that is recognized by IntI (MacDonald et al. 2006). The structure of the \textit{attC} site is typically a cruciform but can include more complex variations, such as a Y-shaped motif consisting of three pairing elements (Stokes et al. 1997, Weinberg et al. 2017).

Integrons are common, occurring in ~17% of sequenced bacteria (Cambray et al. 2010) and found at very high frequencies in diverse environmental samples, with one report showing 4000-18000 unique cassettes per 0.3 g of soil (Ghaly et al. 2019). This is unsurprising given that pairwise competition assays have shown class 1 integrons have a low fitness-cost in \textit{E. coli} (Lacotte et al. 2017).

As a component of the bacterial adaptive immune response, integrons are often the most rapidly evolving part of the genome, partially because genes closest to the promoter are the most strongly expressed (Collis and Hall. 1995). In line with this, the SOS response drastically upregulates integron recombination (Guerin et al. 2009), as does biofilm formation combined with the stringent response (Strugeon et al. 2016).

\textbf{msDNA:}

Originally discovered as a high copy number satellite DNA in \textit{Myxococcus xanthus}, multi-copy single-stranded DNA (msDNA) was soon revealed to be a structurally unique hybrid of covalently linked ssDNA and RNA (Yee et al. 1984, Furuichi et al. 1987 a & b). Synthesis of msDNA requires reverse transcriptase (RT), \textit{msr} (the RNA component), and
msd (the ssDNA component), which are encoded in a genetic cassette referred to as a retron. The \textit{msr} and \textit{msd} genes overlap in a manner that allows msd to be reverse transcribed from the 3’ end of the msr transcript. This is initiated by RT covalently linking the RNA and ssDNA via 2’-5’ phosphodiester linkage at the priming guanosine (Dhundale et al. 1987, Shimamoto et al. 1995). As the ssDNA is synthesized its complementary RNA is degraded.

In addition to the unusual 2’-5’ phosphodiester linkage of msDNA, the molecule contains secondary structure in both the ssDNA and RNA components. Typically, the ssDNA portion of the molecule contains a single highly stable stem-loop, and the RNA portion contains two shorter stem-loops. Though there are some notable deviations from the norm, such as Retron-Eco4 (also known as Ec83), which produces a purely ssDNA product (Lampson et al. 1990), and Retron-Sen1 (Se72) where the 2’-5’ linkage is not seen and the final product is a dsDNA (Rychlik et al. 2001, Pilousova et al. 2011). With only a small fraction of the hundreds of predicted retrons experimentally validated (Simon and Zimmerly. 2008, Toro et al. 2014, Zimmerly et al. 2015), it is likely that additional atypical configurations remain to be discovered.

The function of msDNA remains unclear, with current evidence suggesting it plays a role in environmental adaptation. In \textit{E. coli} overexpression of msDNA is known to increase the mutation rate (Maas et al. 1994), but only when there is a mismatch in the ssDNA base-pairing element (Maas et al. 1996 & Mao et al. 1996). Given that levels of msDNA increase when \textit{E. coli} faces starvation conditions, it might act as part of the SOS response (Maas et al. 1994). Other work has found that \textit{E. coli} with knockouts of retron genes have decreased intestinal persistence in mice (Elfenbein et al. 2015). Similarly, in
*Salmonella* Typhimurium deletion of retron tags to differences in gene expression under anaerobic conditions and left the bacteria unable to colonize mouse intestines (Elfenbein et al. 2015). Others have noted that production of msDNA can repress certain carbon utilization genes (Jeong et al. 2004). These potential functions merit further investigation, with study in a wider number of bacteria required to understand if these regulatory networks are broadly distributed or species specific.

**Rolling circle plasmids:**

The two primary modes of replication in prokaryotic organisms are theta and rolling circle replication. Of these, only rolling circle replication has favored the evolution of multiple DNA structures to facilitate its own propagation. Rolling-circle plasmids contain both a double-stranded and single-stranded origin of replication. The double-stranded origin of replication consists of a short inverse palindrome, while the single-stranded origin is composed of at least two paired elements and exceeds 100 nucleotides in length (Khan et al. 1997).

**ARRPOF:**

In addition to origins of replication a small number of rolling-circle plasmids contain an ssDNA motif known as ARRPOF (Area Required for Replication in a Plasmid Of Fusobacteria) between the double-stranded and single-stranded origins of replication (Weinberg et al. 2017). Averaging over 200 nucleotides and with at least three pseudoknots, ARRPOF is one of the most complex ssDNA motifs documented (Weinberg...
et al. 2017). However, to our knowledge no biochemical or genetic experiments on this ssDNA have yet been attempted.

The IS605 superfamily of transposons:

The IS605 superfamily of insertion sequences are the smallest known transposable elements. They transpose via an obligatory ssDNA intermediate in a method known as ‘peel-and-paste.’ Peel-and-paste transposition requires TnpA, an HUH family transposase that catalyzes excision, circularization, and reinsertion of the transposon (Ton-Hoang et al. 2005, Lee et al. 2006, Guynet et al. 2008, Hickman et al. 2010). TnpA recognizes the left (5’) and right (3’) ends of the insertion sequence through two palindromic sequences that form imperfect hairpins during DNA replication (Ronning et al. 2005, Ton-Hoang et al. 2005, Ton-Hoang et al. 2010). In most IS605 superfamily transposons, hairpins at the 5’ and 3’ ends of the element are the only ssDNA structures present. However, comparative sequence analysis has revealed three separate exceptionally structured motifs associated with IS605 superfamily transposons. These motifs, HEARO (HNH endonuclease-associated RNA and ORF), IS605-orfB-I, and IS605-orfB-II, are some of the most structurally complex ssDNAs ever documented. They vary in location, with HEARO found exclusively at the 5’ end and IS605-orfB-I and IS605-orfB-II found at the 3’ end of their respective transposons. They also have significant differences in composition of associated ORFs. Each of these motifs is discussed in detail in Chapter 4.

Prospects for Discovery of Additional ssDNA Motifs
As genomic and metagenomic databases grow, the potential to find rare nucleic acid structures increases. Although new ssDNA motifs could be difficult to distinguish from ncRNA motifs, this also lends them to being discovered through the same comparative genomics searches that produce novel ncRNA motifs. In fact, it is very likely that additional ssDNA motifs have already been discovered but have been mistaken for structured ncRNAs. This will be particularly challenging for rare motifs found in non-model microbes and environmental metagenomes, yet the massive increase in metagenomic data will also allow motifs that occur in a limited range of species to be detected. Beyond providing valuable information about basic biology, pathogenicity (G-quadruplexes), antibiotic resistance (attC sites), and evolution of invaluable systems (HEARO and Cas9, see Chapter 4), ssDNAs can be utilized for numerous practical applications. For example, retrons have been manipulated to create genomically encoded analog memory (Farzadfard et al. 2014) and have been used in tandem with Cas9 to increase the rate of homology-directed repair (Sharon et al. 2018, Simon et al. 2018). With the amount of basic science to be learned and the breadth of practical applications, it is past time that scientists start paying more attention to the ability of DNA to form complex single-stranded structures.
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Chapter Two

Structural basis of high-affinity association of OapB with OLE RNA
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Summary

Noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs) longer than 200 nucleotides are rare in bacteria, likely because bacterial genomes are under strong evolutionary pressures to maintain a small genome size. Of the long noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs) unique to bacteria, the OLE (ornate, large, extremophilic) RNA class is among the largest and most structurally complex. OLE RNAs form a ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex by partnering with at least two proteins, OapA and OapB, that directly bind OLE RNA. The biochemical functions of the OLE RNP complex remain unknown but are required for proper adaptation to certain environmental stresses, such as cold temperatures, short chain alcohols, and high magnesium concentrations. Although the vast majority of bacteria with the ole gene also have the oapB gene, there are many whose genomes contain oapB but lack ole, suggesting that OapB has other RNA partners in some species that might exhibit similar structural features. In the current study, we used electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) to examine the binding of OLE RNA fragments by OapB and found that OapB recognizes a small sub-region of OLE RNA, including stem P13, with a dissociation constant ($K_D$) of approximately 700 pM. Analyses with mutated RNA constructs, and the application of in vitro selection, revealed that strong binding of OLE RNA by OapB requires a stem containing a precisely located single-nucleotide bulge and a GNRA tetraloop. To provide the atomic details of OapB-OLE RNA interaction and to potentially reveal previously uncharacterized protein-RNA interfaces, Dr. Yang Yang determined the structure of OapB from Bacillus halodurans alone and in complex with an OLE RNA fragment at resolutions of 1.0 Å and 2.1 Å, respectively. The structure of OapB exhibits a K-shaped overall architecture wherein its conserved KOW motif and additional unique structural elements
of OapB form a bipartite RNA-binding surface that docks to the P13 hairpin and P12.2
helix of OLE RNA. These high-resolution structures elucidate the molecular contacts used
by OapB to form a stable RNP complex and explain the high conservation of sequences
and structural features at the OapB-OLE RNA binding interface. These findings provide
new insights into the role of OapB in the assembly and biological function of OLE RNP
complex and can guide the exploration of additional possible OLE RNA-binding
interactions present in OapB.

**Introduction**

Noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs) greater than 200 nucleotides in length are rare in the
bacterial domain of life (Harris et al. 2018, Cech and Steitz 2014), perhaps because most
bacterial species are under extreme evolutionary pressure to maintain small, efficient
genomes with little tolerance for producing long transcripts other than mRNAs.
Intriguingly, the common classes of large and highly conserved bacterial ncRNAs reported
to date mostly represent RNA-based enzymes such as ribosomal RNAs (Nissen et al. 2000),
group I (Kruger et al. 1982) and group II (Peebles et al. 1986) self-splicing ribozymes, and
RNase P endonucleases (Guerrier-Takada et al. 1983). It has been proposed that some
ribozyme classes might be evolutionary descendants of catalytic polymers that were
present in RNA World organisms, before the emergence of proteins (Benner et al. 1989).
Thus, reports of the existence of unusually well-conserved and long ncRNAs in bacteria
opportunities to study novel ribozyme classes with potentially ancient types of biochemical
functions.
Previous studies reported the existence of OLE (ornate, large, extremophilic) RNAs, which represent a class of unusually long (~600 nucleotide) bacterial ncRNA transcripts with numerous highly conserved sequence and structural features (Puerta-Fernandez et al. 2006, Wallace et al. 2012, Harris et al. 2018). The presence of nucleotide sequences matching the OLE RNA consensus sequence and secondary structure model has been detected in the genomes of 296 Gram-positive bacterial species, and in several hundred additional metagenomic DNA sequences (Block et al. 2011). This distribution among various Firmicutes, particularly in extremophilic and anaerobic species of Bacilli and Clostridia, makes OLE RNA one of the most widespread large ncRNAs in bacteria whose biochemical function has yet to be determined.

Although the precise biological function of OLE RNA is unknown, various lines of evidence indicate that the RNA participates in the formation of an abundant ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex. For example, the gene for OLE RNA (ole) is almost always located upstream of a gene (oapA) coding for a 21 kDa transmembrane protein of unknown function, termed OLE-associated protein A (OapA) (Puerta-Fernandez et al. 2006, Harris et al. 2018). The ole and oapA genes are mutually inclusive (each residing only in genomes that include the other), suggesting that they are functionally linked. Indeed, electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) were used to demonstrate that OapA homodimers bind to OLE RNA by recognizing two short palindromic sequences, among other contacts with the RNA (Block et al. 2011).

Moreover, it was shown that the OLE-OapA RNP complex localizes to cell membranes (Block et al. 2011), presumably due to the predicted transmembrane helices of OapA (Block et al. 2011, Harris et al. 2018). In previous work, protocols were developed to
efficiently genetically alter the alkaliophile *Bacillus halodurans* and created knockouts of *ole* and *oapA* (Takami and Horikoshi. 1999, Wallace and Breaker. 2011), Genetic disruption of either the *ole* gene, the *oapA* gene, or both, yields strains that exhibit growth and survival defects when exposed to stresses such as cold (20°C) (Wallace and Breaker. 2011), short-chain alcohols such as ethanol (5% v/v) (Wallace et al. 2012), or slightly elevated Mg$^{2+}$ concentrations (8 mM) (Harris et al. 2019). These observations, and other clues from its genetic context (Puerta-Fernandez et al. 2006), suggest that OLE RNA has a key function in maintaining cell membrane integrity and osmotic homeostasis.

Intriguingly, the stress phenotypes noted above are substantially worsened through mutation of a highly conserved DxxxD amino acid sequence in OapA (where x is less conserved) to the sequence AxxxA (referred to as OapA protein mutation 1 or ‘PM1’) (Harris et al. 2018). These phenotypes are only seen when OLE RNA is also present. These findings are notable for three reasons. First, the fact that PM1 causes more severe versions of the same phenotypes compared to an *oapA* or *ole* knockout (KO) suggests that the OLE RNP complex has one or more other constituents whose function is disrupted by the PM1 changes. Second, the loss of the severe phenotype in PM1 when OLE RNA is absent indicates that the RNA is essential for the stress-related functions of the RNP complex. Third, the severity of the stress phenotypes offers a powerful selective pressure to pursue genetic selections for mutations elsewhere in the genome that convert the severe phenotypes of a PM1 strain to the milder stress phenotypes experienced by the *ole* and/or *oapA* KO strains.

In one such genetic selection (Harris et al. 2018), six of ten isolated *B. halodurans* strains acquired genomic mutations in the gene *ybzG* to overcome the severe PM1
The YbzG protein had not been characterized previously but was known to carry a KOW motif (17), which is a putative RNA binding domain. Indeed, it has recently been demonstrated that the YbzG protein from *B. halodurans* selectively binds full-length OLE RNA, and it was thus renamed OLE-associated protein B (OapB) (Harris et al. 2018). Additionally, the H57Y mutant version of OapB, encountered in two independent PM1-resistant strains from the genetic selection, does not bind OLE RNA. These data demonstrate that OapB plays an essential role in forming the functional OLE RNP complex in *B. halodurans*.

Furthermore, OapB was found to bind a 160-nucleotide fragment of OLE RNA containing the base-paired regions P12 through P15, suggesting that the RNA binding target of OapB is limited to this OLE substructure (Harris et al. 2018). In the current study, I further examined the interaction of OLE RNA and OapB to establish the precise nucleotides and structural features required for forming the OLE-OapB RNP complex. Specifically, I employed EMSAs with truncated and mutated RNA constructs to localize the binding sites for OapB. In addition, I used an *in vitro* selection strategy to more precisely define the sequence and structural features of OLE RNA important for high-affinity binding by OapB.

Herein I also describe the crystal structures of OapB from *B. halodurans* at 1.0 Å resolution and OapB in complex with a subdomain of OLE RNA at 2.1 Å resolution, obtained through a collaboration led by Dr. Yang Yang. These high-resolution structures reveal the overall architecture of OapB alone and when docked to its OLE RNA binding target. In addition, the structures reveal the essential binding surfaces formed by OapB that enable its high-affinity association with OLE RNA and explain the importance of
precisely located single-nucleotide bulge in stem P13 of OLE RNA that is required for its specific recognition by OapB. Structural comparisons of OapB with other KOW-motif-containing ribosomal proteins reveals a possible additional OLE RNA-binding site in OapB, which hints at how OapB might assist in the folding of the larger OLE RNP complex. These findings provide a more detailed understanding of the structures involved in this large bacterial ncRNA.

**Results and Discussion**

The *oapB* gene is found in most organisms that contain OLE RNA and in many Gram-positive bacteria that lack OLE RNA

Recently reported data (Harris et al. 2018) suggests that the partnership between OLE RNA and OapB (protein formerly called YbzG) is essential for the biological function of the OLE RNP complex in *B. halodurans*. Specifically, mutations to OapB were found to overcome the strong growth and survival deficiencies of the OapA PM1 strain as noted above. Likewise, deletion of the gene for OLE RNA similarly overcomes the PM1 phenotype. These results suggest that an intact OLE RNP complex is needed for cells to exhibit the strong PM1 phenotype, and that both OLE RNA and OapB are necessary to form a functional complex.

Unfortunately, Dr. Kim Harris has not yet been able to produce a viable genetic deletion of the *oapB* gene in *B. halodurans* to determine if the loss of OapB alone is phenotypically equivalent to strains lacking OapA or OLE RNA. The *oapB* gene is located between two essential genes: *map* (type 1 methionyl aminopeptidase) and *infA* (translation initiation factor IF-1) (Kobayashi et al. 2003, Koo et al. 2017). Replacement of the entire *oapB* gene
with a selectable marker gene might affect expression of the two flanking genes, causing propagation of the intended KO strain to fail due to polar effects, or other reasons unrelated to the function of OapB, as I suspect that *oapB* is a non-essential gene.

Regardless, there remain several questions about the natural role(s) of OapB. For example, do OLE RNAs from all species form a complex with OapB? Does OapB have a functional role beyond serving as a partner in the formation of OLE RNP complexes? To date, there are no additional validated functions for OapB proteins in any other species. Therefore, these uncertainties prompted a quantitative examination of the co-occurrences of the *ole* and *oapB* genes, which can yield clues relevant to these questions.

To evaluate the distributions of *ole* and *oapB* genes, a bioinformatic analysis approach was used both to expand the number of representatives and to identify instances of co-occurrences. Specifically, by using BLAST, a list was compiled of *oapB*-containing organisms and compared it to a previously generated list of *ole*-containing organisms (Harris et al. 2018). Representatives of the *oapB* gene are found exclusively in Firmicutes, with 1,952 examples in annotated genomes (Fig. 1, right). Importantly, over 85% of *oapB*-containing genomes lack *ole*. This indicates that, in species lacking OLE RNA, OapB has a separate biological role. Presumably, this role involves RNA binding because these additional OapB representatives are also generally very small (~100 amino acids), and only carry well-conserved KOW RNA-binding domains. However, *oapB* is known to be non-essential in *Bacillus subtilis* (Kobayashi et al. 2003, Koo et al. 2017). Additionally, a mutation to the *B. halodurans* *oapB* start codon resulted in a viable strain with no growth defects under normal laboratory culture conditions (Harris et al. 2018). Thus, OapB
function might be important in \textit{B. subtilis} and other organisms lacking OLE RNA only under certain stress conditions.

Of the 296 fully sequenced bacterial genomes that were found to carry a representative \textit{ole} gene, all but 14 species also harbor an \textit{oapB} gene, corresponding to a greater than 95% co-occurrence (Fig. 1, left). Among the 14 species that lack \textit{oapB}, no clear differences are observed in the structure or nucleotide conservation of OLE RNA in the P12 to P15 region (Fig. 2). Of the species that contain both \textit{ole} and \textit{oapB} it is unknown how many utilize OapB as a necessary factor in assembling a functional OLE RNP complex. Given the importance of OapB to the function of the \textit{B. halodurans} OLE RNP complex and its broader importance to species lacking OLE RNA, we sought to further define the RNA binding site of this protein.

The primary OapB binding site includes the P13 region of the \textit{B. halodurans} OLE RNA

Previous work demonstrated that OapB interacts with a 162-nucleotide construct spanning positions 449-608 of the full-length wild-type (WT) \textit{B. halodurans} OLE RNA (Harris et al. 2018). A dissociation constant ($K_D$) of $\sim$60 nM reported for the 449-608 OLE RNA construct provides a basis of comparison for the assessment of the function of various RNA constructs examined in the current study. Initially, I created a 100-nucleotide construct, called OLE-1 (Fig. 3A), which encompasses positions 481-580 of the WT OLE RNA. By generating and evaluating EMSA data using trace amounts of $^{32}$P-labeled RNA, I determined that OLE-1 appears to be bound by two OapB proteins in a manner indicative of two separate binding events (Fig. 3B).
The initial shift in RNA electrophoretic mobility exhibits an OapB concentration response curve that is consistent with a stoichiometry of 1:1 and a $K_D$ of ~800 pM (Fig. 3C). The second event causes a further reduction in mobility of the RNA, or a ‘supershift’, suggesting that a second OapB protein is binding to the existing RNA-OapB complex, albeit with a $K_D$ that appears to be in the micromolar range. Under my assay conditions, I do not see evidence of cooperative binding between OLE-1 and two OapB proteins.

A prominent characteristic of OLE RNAs encompassed by the OLE-1 construct is the presence of a semi-repetitive architecture, primarily represented by the P13 and P14.1 stems, and the regions immediately flanking these stems (Fig. 3A). For example, both stems are formed by eight base-pairs that are interrupted by one or two unpaired nucleotides. In addition, both hairpins are closed by GNRA tetraloops. Loop sequences conforming to this consensus are commonly present in naturally occurring structured RNAs, where they can both stabilize base-pairing interactions of adjoining stems (Correll et al. 2003), and present unique tertiary folding opportunities by docking with tetraloop receptor structures (Takami and Horikoshi. 1999, Wallace and Breaker. 2011). Given the architectural similarity of P13 and P14.1, I hypothesized that an OapB molecule might individually bind to each of these repeated substructures.

I observed that replacement of the highly conserved GNRA tetraloop linking the left and right shoulders of base-paired region P14.1 with an alternative stable UNCG tetraloop (Kyprides et al. 1996) (mutant construct M1, Fig. 3A) causes a loss of the second (weaker) OapB binding event, but has no effect on the first (stronger) binding interaction (Fig. 3B). The OapB binding curves and the $K_D$ values for the WT and M1 constructs are essentially identical (Fig. 3C). In contrast, replacement of the GNRA tetraloop linking the shoulders
of P13 with a UNCG sequence (mutant construct M2) causes a loss of the first, but not the second OapB binding interaction. A construct wherein both GNRA loops were replaced (M3) exhibits no OapB binding, even at concentrations as high as 3.2 μM (Fig. 3B), again demonstrating the importance of the tetraloop sequences for formation of the OLE-OapB RNP complex.

To further establish the specific portions of OLE RNA required for the OapB binding events, I created RNA constructs OLE-2 and OLE-3 to examine each binding site in isolation. Construct OLE-2, encompassing P13 and flanking regions, exhibits robust single-event binding by OapB, again with a $K_D$ value (~700 pM) that is essentially identical to that observed for the OLE-1 construct (Fig. 4A). In contrast, I did not observe binding of OapB to construct OLE-3, which encompasses P14.1 and its flanking regions (Fig. 4B). Given the small size of OLE-3 and its strong predicted secondary structure features, it is unlikely that the absence OapB binding is due to RNA misfolding.

A mutated version of the OLE-2 construct also was examined, wherein the P13 GNRA tetraloop was replaced with a UNCG tetraloop (OLE-4) (Fig. 5A). This alteration results in the complete loss of OapB binding, which matches that observed for the OLE-1 M2 mutant and further demonstrates the requirement of the P13 GNRA tetraloop. However, a construct called OLE-5 encompassing only the P13 stem plus a partial P14 stem does not serve as a binding site for OapB (Fig. 5B). These findings demonstrate that the P13 stem and GNRA tetraloop alone are insufficient for high-affinity OapB binding.

To observe if these effects hold true for the binding of full-length OLE RNA to OapB, a construct (FL-OLE M2) was made wherein the P13 GNRA tetraloop was replaced with a UNCG tetraloop. Comparison of the wild-type (FL-OLE) and mutated full-length OLE
RNA by EMSA (Fig. 6) reveals that disruption of the P13 GNRA tetraloop leads to a reduction in affinity to OapB, although the effects are less substantial than in truncated constructs due to the overall poorer affinity of the full-length RNA.

Taken together, these results localize the high-affinity OapB binding site to P13, but only when embedded in its larger natural structural context. Although the P14.1 region is similar in appearance to P13, the poor-affinity binding of OapB to construct OLE-1 (~$10^{-3}$ weaker) and the lack of binding to OLE-3 casts doubt on the biological relevance of this second, weaker interaction with P14.1. In its native cellular context, the OLE RNP might involve the binding of two OapB proteins, but my current in vitro data supports only one strong RNA binding site with no evidence for cooperative interactions between two OapB molecules.

**A single bulged nucleotide is essential for high-affinity binding of P13 by OapB**

Upon confirming that the P13 region forms a higher-affinity OapB binding site compared to the P14.1 region, I further examined these two regions to determine what differences might explain our results. The preceding experiments highlight the critical importance of the GNRA tetraloops for both binding sites, although additional binding site discrimination must rely on other features of these two regions. The most obvious difference is that P13 contains a single bulged nucleotide in the stem (U497), whereas P14.1 contains a mismatched pair of nucleotides (C539 and A550) (Fig. 3A). To assess the importance of U497, constructs were made in which this nucleotide was either mutated or deleted. The mutation of U497 to any of the other three common nucleotides only weakens binding (Fig. 7A), whereas deletion of this bulged nucleotide completely eliminates
binding (Fig. 7B). Specifically, the $K_D$ values range from 4 to 7 nM for constructs wherein the nucleotide identity at position 497 has been changed (Fig. 7C), compared to 700 pM for the WT OLE-2 construct (Fig. 3C).

These findings suggest that U497 might form one or more additional contacts with OapB, thereby resulting in a tighter RNA-protein complex. However, a far greater contributor to binding affinity is the presence of a bulge in the P13 helix. Perhaps the protein makes direct contact with the ribose and/or phosphate moieties of this bulged nucleotide, or perhaps the binding site of the protein prefers a distorted or bent RNA helix that results from the bulged nucleotide at this location.

**In vitro selection reveals nucleotides critical for high-affinity OapB binding to OLE RNA**

To generate a more detailed consensus sequence and structural model for the OapB binding site, I created a mutagenized RNA population that presents a single, high-affinity binding site based on the OLE-2 RNA construct (Fig. 4A). The mutagenized version of this construct, called OLE-6 (Fig. 8A), was formed by synthesizing a DNA population (Table 1) for *in vitro* transcription that carried a central 56-nucleotide region with 6% sequence degeneracy. This region was flanked by invariant 15-nucleotide primer-binding sites to enable reverse transcription and subsequent PCR reactions to amplify RNAs that were selected for their ability to be bound by OapB. The initial population (generation zero or ‘G0’) included approximately $6 \times 10^{11}$ molecules (1 pmol), and is expected to fully sample all possible sequences with 6 or fewer mutations relative to the WT sequence.
Starting from the G\textsubscript{0} population, I performed two rounds of in vitro selection, which were sufficient to produce a G\textsubscript{2} population that is bound by OapB with characteristics that are comparable to that observed for WT OLE-6 RNA (Fig. 8B). A minor band (d, Fig. 8B) appears to be dimers of OLE-6 that have mobility similar to that of the RNA-protein complex. However, the mobility of the dimer was sufficiently different from that of RNAs bound by OapB, to exclude RNA-only dimers from amplification in subsequent generations.

The G\textsubscript{2} population was then subjected to RNA sequencing, and the results were used to generate a consensus model for the OapB binding site (Fig. 8C). The overall secondary structure appears to be retained by the vast majority of the members of the G\textsubscript{2} population, wherein the most highly conserved nucleotides are present in the P13 stem-loop substructure. In particular, the GNRA tetraloop and the terminal base-pair of the P13 stem are conserved to a greater extent than any other region, with G501 of this tetraloop appearing in 100% of the sequence reads represented 500 times or more. Finally, although the U nucleotide identity at position 497 was not strictly conserved, the presence of the bulge at this location was retained. These results are consistent with our earlier data revealing that the bulge is essential for high-affinity binding by OapB, whereas the nucleotide identity is not (Fig. 7).

**Bioinformatics search for RNA sequences that match the OapB binding site consensus**

After obtaining the consensus model for the RNA binding site of *B. halodurans* OapB, I searched for matches to the model by employing comparative sequence analyses
(Kobayashi et al. 2003) of *B. halodurans* (Table 2) and *B. subtilis* (Table 3) genomes. All candidate OapB binding sites with an E value of less than or equal to 1 reside within protein coding genes. These candidates appear to be false positives, as coding regions are an uncommon location for protein-RNA interactions to occur. Furthermore, there is no apparent trend regarding gene functionality, and there is no overlap in the specific genes identified from the *B. halodurans* and *B. subtilis* genome analyses.

These findings highlight the mystery regarding the predominant biological function of OapB for each species in which this protein is carried. Perhaps the *B. halodurans* OapB has a single function – to serve as an essential RNA-binding partner in the OLE RNP complex. The features of its RNA binding site might be distinct for *B. halodurans*. If true, then the consensus model might not be useful for identifying biologically relevant binding sites in other species. Regardless, the majority of bacterial species that carry OapB do not have OLE RNAs (Fig. 1), and therefore the primary biological role for OapB in these species remains undiscovered.

Whereas the vast majority of species that carry OLE RNA also code for OapB, 14 species have been identified that appear to lack OapB (Fig. 1). Furthermore, the consensus model for the high-affinity RNA target site for *B. halodurans* is not strictly conserved across all OLE RNA representatives (Harris et al. 2013). These observations suggest that OapB is a non-essential partner in OLE RNP complexes from all species, and that the determinants of OapB binding might have co-evolved with the RNA if OapB is more widely essential for OLE RNP function. Overall, these observations suggest that OapB might function as a remarkably small RNA-binding protein that recognizes simple sequence and structural features to assist in the folding and functioning of certain RNA
transcripts. In *B. halodurans*, its primary function might be to assist in the formation of the OLE RNP complex, but additional functional roles cannot be ruled out.

The protein partners of OLE RNA interact with different regions of the polynucleotide

In the current study, I have specifically mapped two binding sites for OapB within OLE RNA. Both sites are located considerable distances 3’ relative to the specific binding sites of the previously established protein partner, OapA (Block et al. 2011). OapA presumably binds as a homodimer and relies on two YAGNCUR consensus sequence motifs located in the P2 and P4a regions of OLE RNA. This separation suggests that both OapA and OapB might bind full-length OLE RNA molecules simultaneously (Fig. 9). It is already known that shortened OLE RNA constructs that exclude P13 are tightly bound by OapA proteins (Block et al. 2011), whereas shortened constructs such as OLE-1 (Fig. 3) and OLE-2 (Fig. 4) that lack the two YAGNCUR consensus sequences are tightly bound by OapB. These observations are consistent with the hypothesis that both proteins can bind OLE RNA at the same time. Unfortunately, given the large shift in gel mobility upon the binding of OapA to OLE RNA (Block et al. 2011), and given the small size of OapB, I have not been able to demonstrate simultaneous binding using the EMSA methods described herein.

Importantly, the protein binding regions noted above encompass only small portions of OLE RNA. There are approximately 80 highly conserved nucleotides that reside outside of the key molecular recognition motifs important for binding of OapA and OapB (Fig. 9). Therefore, I expect that these other well-conserved sequence and structural features are recognized by other protein factors or, perhaps more likely, are critical for OLE RNA to
perform a complex biochemical function as exemplified by all other large, highly structured, and widespread ncRNAs in bacteria.

**Determining the structures of OapB and the complex formed between OapB and OLE RNA**

The initial full-length *B. halodurans* OapB construct carrying an N-terminal hexahistidine affinity tag failed to yield crystals after extensive screening of crystallization conditions. Secondary structure prediction suggests the N-terminal 5 to 10 residues of OapB are unstructured. Therefore, a new construct was designed encoding a near full-length OapB (spanning residue 5 to the C-terminus) fused to an N-terminal hexahistidine affinity tag. An HRV 3C protease cleavage site was inserted between the affinity tag and the OapB coding region to enable tag removal during protein purification. This preparation, done by Dr. Yang, yielded a structure of OapB, which was determined up to 1.0 Å resolution by single isomorphous replacement with anomalous scattering (SIRAS) using one native crystal and one derivative containing iodine (Table 4). The final model contains all the residues in the OapB construct used for crystallization (Fig. 10 A and B).

My biochemical characterization identified an OLE substructure comprising P12.2, P13, P14 and P15 regions as a minimized RNA element (hereinafter designated ‘OLE min RNA’) that retains specific and high-affinity binding to OapB. To obtain well-diffracting crystals of the OapB-OLE min RNA complex, Dr. Yang and I screened a series of RNA constructs with varied lengths of the P12.2 stem combined with different sequences of the tetraloop that caps the P14 stem. Dr. Yang and I reconstituted each OapB-OLE min RNA
complex in vitro using the truncated OapB construct described above and the resulting RNP complexes were purified through size-exclusion chromatography (Fig. 11).

The complex formed between OapB and a 60-nt OLE min RNA (Fig. 10C) was crystallized to yield two different forms (hereafter referred to as ‘form I’ and ‘form II’), both with end-to-end stacking of P12.2 helices and diffracting X-rays to 2.1 Å (Table 4). Dr. Yang solved the structure by single-wavelength anomalous dispersion (SAD) using crystals soaked with cobalt (III) hexammine. To further improve the electron density map, the experimental phase obtained from the SAD dataset was combined with the phase information from a partial molecular replacement model using the OapB apo structure determined above as a search template. All nucleotides in the OLE min RNA used are observed with well-defined density in the refined maps (Fig. 12) and are built into the final models of the complex (Fig. 10D). Structures from the two crystal forms have the same overall architecture and highly similar OapB-OLE min RNA interfaces. Unless otherwise indicated, the structure descriptions and analyses provided below refer to the OapB-OLE min RNA complex structure determined from crystal form I.

Overview of the OapB structure alone and in complex with an OLE RNA fragment

The KOW motif encompasses ~27 amino acids and is most commonly found in several families of ribosomal proteins (Kyrpides et al. 1996). The N-terminal portion of OapB, which includes the KOW motif of the protein (amino acids 9-35), folds into a small β-barrel (SBB) domain (Youkharibache et al. 2019), followed by three α helices in the C-terminus (Fig. 10B). Specifically, the KOW motif spans β1, β2 and the loop between them (Fig. 10A). Upon binding to OLE RNA, OapB exhibits notable conformational
rearrangements in several loop regions, particularly in the β1-β2 loop (Fig. 13A). A series of basic residues at the OLE RNA-binding interface undergo major rotamer changes to better fit the RNA surface (Fig. 13A). Such induced fit mechanism is a common theme for many RNA-binding proteins when they form complexes with their cognate RNAs (Hainzl et al. 2005, Leulliot and Varani. 2001).

The OLE min RNA contains a near-perfectly base-paired four-way helical (4H) junction, in which four helical segments form two approximately parallel coaxial stacks (Fig. 10D). Stems P13 and P14 stack nearly coaxially, whereas stems P12.2 and P15 form a second coaxial stack. The two stacks are connected by a pair of antiparallel crossovers (Figs. 10D and 14A). Three out of the four inter-nucleotide contacts at the four-way junction are canonical Watson-Crick base-pairs. The exception involves two nucleotides at the upper end of stem P12.2, which form a water-mediated non-canonical C-U base-pair (Fig. 14A).

By comparison, a similar 4H junction is also present in the hairpin ribozyme (Rupert and Ferre-D’Amare. 2001), although its two coaxial stacks cross at a ~60° angle. Single-molecule FRET analyses of the simple 4H junction derived from the hairpin ribozyme have shown that, without the tertiary contacts in the full-length ribozyme, the 4H junction is highly polymorphic and dynamically samples different stacking conformers (Hohng et al. 2004, Walter et al. 1998). In the 4H junction formed by the OLE min RNA, the P13-closing GUGA tetraloop, which was shown to be the primary OapB-binding site, docks into the shallow minor groove of the adjoining P12.2 helix and forms a GNRA tetraloop-receptor-like interaction (Wu et al. 2012) (Fig. 10D). Within the GUGA tetraloop, nucleotide U502 interacts with the sugar edge of G577 (Fig. 14D), nucleotide G503 forms a type II A-minor-
like interaction (Doherty et al. 2001, Nissen et al. 2001) with U576 (Fig. 14C), and nucleotide A504 establishes a type I A-minor groove triple motif with the G489-C575 base-pair in stem P12.2 (Fig. 14B). These tertiary interactions likely lock the otherwise flexible 4H junction in the specific conformation observed in the crystal structure.

My mutagenesis analyses suggested that the GCGA tetraloop closing the stem P14.1 of OLE RNA constitutes a weak secondary OapB binding site. Given the pseudosymmetric nature of stems P13 and P14.1 relative to P14 (Fig. 15A), P14.1 likely also coaxially stacks with P14 and thus places the GCGA tetraloop at the distal end of the continuous helix formed by P13, P14 and P14.1, precluding any interactions between the two bound OapB molecules. This is consistent with my finding that binding of OapB to the two GNRA tetraloops in OLE RNA was not cooperative.

The molecular basis of OLE RNA recognition by OapB

The structure of OapB in complex with the OLE min RNA construct reveals extensive charge and shape complementarity at the protein-RNA binding interface, which buries a total of 680 Å² of otherwise solvent-accessible surface area upon their association (Fig. 16A). The major groove of hairpin P13 with its end-capped GUGA tetraloop sits on top of a highly basic hill-shaped protrusion composed of β4 and three inter-β strand loops in OapB (Fig. 16B). The GUGA tetraloop forms an extensive network of hydrogen bonds and salt bridges by contacting various basic amino acid residues mainly through backbone phosphates and 2'-hydroxyl groups (Fig. 16B). The lack of base-specific interactions, except for the two hydrogen bonds mediated by the first G501 in the tetraloop (Fig. 16B), indicates that the specificity of OapB binding to this region is dictated by the tertiary
conformation of the GNRA tetraloop, rather than exact sequence within this tetraloop family. Indeed, replacing the GUGA tetraloop of the P13 hairpin with another common stabilizing tetraloop based on the UNCG consensus (Antao et al. 1991), which is known to adopt a different conformation (Cheong et al. 1990, Heus and Pardi. 1991), abolishes OapB binding.

Previous genetic selection results revealed that mutations at either of two highly conserved residues in OapB, G19S and H57Y, mitigated the severity of PM1 phenotypes (Harris et al. 2018). These mutations disrupt the ability of OapB to bind OLE RNA, presumably preventing the proper assembly of the functional OLE RNP complex. Indeed, the OapB-OLE min RNA co-crystal structure provides a basis to understand how these OapB mutations disrupt OapB-OLE RNA binding.

The G19 residue of OapB resides at a strictly conserved position of the SBB domain (Kyrpides et al. 1996). This amino acid is located in the β1-β2 loop (Figs. 10 A, B and 16B), which projects into the major groove of the OLE RNA P13 hairpin (Fig. 10D). The absence of a side chain at this position of the wild-type protein allows for the close approach of OLE RNA to OapB, and the subsequent formation of two hydrogen bonds between the P13 GUGA tetraloop and the main chain nitrogen atoms of OapB G19 and R20 (Fig. 16B and Fig. 15B). A G19S mutation likely results in steric clashes that prevent proper contact between OapB and OLE RNA (Fig. 15B).

As H57 residue carries an imidazole side chain that is inserted between the U502 ribose at the bottom of the P13 GUGA tetraloop and the backbone of the P12.2 helix (Fig. 15C), the H57Y mutation is expected to cause a loss of two hydrogen bonds otherwise involving the side chain of histidine. In addition, the bulkier side chain of tyrosine is unable to fit
within the narrow gap originally occupied by histidine without causing substantial clashes with OLE RNA (Fig. 15C).

The close proximity of the P12.2 helix with the P13 hairpin tetraloop in the tertiary structure of OLE RNA creates a unified surface for OapB binding to these separate secondary-structure features (Fig. 16 A and C). OapB amino acids R35, N54, and N56, in addition to the above-mentioned H57 make multiple contacts with backbone phosphate groups of nucleotides in the P12.2 helix (Fig. 16C). These interactions likely play a role in OLE RNA folding by serving as part of a protein tether that stabilizes the close approach and orientation of the two coaxial stacks (the P12.2-P15 stack and the P13-P14 stack). These contacts appear to work synergistically with the GUGA tetraloop-P12.2 minor groove base triple tertiary interactions to direct the OLE RNA 4H junction to the observed conformation.

The presence of a single-nucleotide bulge in stem P13 (Fig. 10C) is a highly conserved feature of OLE RNA (Harris et al. 2018), which I found to be critical for OapB binding. In *B. halodurans* OLE RNA, the base of the bulged nucleotide U498 flips out to extrahelical space, distorting the P13 helix backbone and leading to an unusually short (less than 5 Å) phosphate-to-phosphate distance between U499 and G500 (Fig. 16D). The crowding of negative charges is neutralized by a pair of arginine residues (R20 and R49) from OapB that clamp the two phosphate groups from both sides (Fig. 16D). Notably, the bulged U498 adopts different conformations in the two crystal forms of OapB-OLE min RNA complex, but is not involved in any base-specific interactions with OapB in either form (Fig. 16D and Fig. 15D). This observation suggests this nucleotide is highly flexible and its identity is unlikely to contribute to the specificity of OLE RNA recognition by OapB.
Previous bioinformatics-derived secondary structure models for OLE RNA assigned the nucleotide equivalent to U497 as the bulged nucleotide (Puerta-Fernandez et al. 2006, Harris et al. 2018, Harris et al. 2019). My mutagenesis studies with a minimized OLE RNA showed that substituting U497 with other nucleotides weakens OapB binding by 6 to 10 fold, whereas removing this nucleotide almost completely abolishes binding. However, the *B. halodurans* OLE RNA sequence contains three consecutive uridines at positions 497 through 499, and therefore it was not initially clear which U nucleotide was bulged in the functional conformation. Specifically, deletion of U497 is equivalent to removing any of the three nucleotides at these positions, and therefore will be indistinguishable in their effects on OLE RNA structure and function. A deletion of a U nucleotide in this region is expected to eliminate the distorted RNA helical backbone by restoring contiguous base-pairing of P13, thereby displacing the U499 backbone phosphate and breaking its interactions with R20 and R49 of OapB. Mutating U497 to other nucleotides is expected to change its base-pairing potential with G507 and consequently shift the positions of the U498 and U499 backbone phosphate groups. However, the suboptimal RNA backbone conformation that results might be partially compensated by the flexibility of the flipped U498, resulting in a less deleterious impact on OapB binding affinity as is observed in our biochemical experiments. Therefore, it appears that the extrahelical U498 and G507-U497 wobble base-pair together place the two backbone phosphate groups for optimal interaction with the OapB arginine dyad.

**Conclusions**
The OapB protein from *B. halodurans* binds the P13 region of OLE RNA with an affinity in the mid-picomolar range. This strong interaction is required for cells to exhibit the most severe sensitivity phenotypes when exposed to cold, short-chain alcohols, or slightly elevated Mg\(^{2+}\) concentrations. Despite its small size (102 amino acids), the protein is remarkably selective for its RNA binding site. The consensus sequence for OapB binding includes a GNRA tetraloop on a stem closed with a G-C base-pair, and a bulged nucleotide (preferably uridine) located 3 base-pairs away and on the 5' side of the tetraloop (*Fig. 8C*).

Although the RNA consensus model for OapB binding is relatively simple, and there are close matches for this architecture elsewhere in the RNAs of *B. halodurans* (*Table 2*), we do not have evidence that any of these additional binding site candidates are biologically relevant. It is apparent that additional regions of the high-affinity OapB binding site flanking the OLE RNA P13 stem are also required for complex formation (*Fig. 2B*). The nucleotide sequences for these additional molecular recognition determinants are not highly conserved. However, they might be sufficiently important for binding such that their absence precludes OapB from recognizing other cellular RNAs that closely mimic the P13 stem of *B. halodurans* OLE RNA. Regardless, OapB from most species must have other RNA targets, because the gene for this protein is present in more than 85% of sequenced bacterial species that do not contain the gene for OLE RNA. Additional experiments with species lacking OLE RNA will need to be conducted to determine the broader functions of OapB.

The high-resolution crystal structure of OapB with its cognate OLE RNA substructure reported here provides atomic detail of the architecture and assembly of an intriguing portion of the OLE RNP complex. The OLE RNA fragment bound by OapB includes the
stems P12.2, P13, P14 and P15, which together form an almost perfect 4H junction. This region contains RNA tertiary structural motifs that are recurrent in many other large ncRNAs (Natchiar et al. 2017), which highlights the importance of RNA tertiary structure to the biological and biochemical functions of OLE RNA.

Three subregions of OapB that are discontinuous in primary sequence come together to form a bipartite surface for association of the protein with OLE RNA. The binding interface is replete with hydrogen bonding and salt bridge interactions that tightly join the two molecules, which explains the strong binding affinity for the complex ($K_D \sim 700$ pM). Because most of these protein-RNA contacts are mediated by phosphate and ribose 2' hydroxyl groups of the RNA phosphodiester backbone, the high specificity of OLE RNA recognition by OapB is primarily dictated by the unique three-dimensional architecture of the OLE min RNA construct, as evident from the remarkable shape complementarity between the two partners at their binding interface (Fig. 16A). Additionally, the OapB-OLE min RNA complex structure explains the disruptive effects of mutations in OapB (Harris et al. 2018) and OLE RNA and provides a basis to understand why each of the three OapB mutations (G19S, G42V and H57Y) found in genetic selections (Harris et al. 2018) alleviate the strong dominant-negative phenotype observed with the PM1 strain.

In the OapB-OLE min RNA complex, the amount of OapB surface utilized for RNA binding is markedly lower compared to two structurally similar ribosomal proteins, RPL14 and RPL27. Detailed analyses of their RNA-binding patterns suggest that OapB $\alpha_3$ likely forms an additional RNA binding site and that this might be important for binding the full-length OLE RNA in the OLE RNP complex. Further analyses involving larger RNA
constructs will be needed to evaluate this hypothesis and to characterize the nature of this putative interaction.

Intriguingly, some of the most highly conserved nucleotide positions in this RNA construct are not involved in either binding OapB or in setting up the special 4H junction that is observed in the RNA-protein complex. Most notably, the conserved nucleotides in the loops of P14a and P15, and also those in the junction between P14 and P14a, would be located in the natural RNA far from the nucleotides bound by OapB. Therefore, the OLE RNP complex likely exploits these strongly conserved nucleotides to achieve other structural and functional objectives. It is likely that the B. halodurans OLE RNP complex requires OapB binding to assist in forming the local architecture necessary for these other objectives to be met, as well as helping to bring a distal part of OLE RNA near to these conserved nucleotides.

Because OapA and OapB interact only with small portions of OLE RNA it can be assumed that either OLE RNA is interacting with additional protein partners or that the other conserved substructures of this large ncRNA perform another function, possibly even acting as a ribozyme. Although increased understanding of the OLE-OapB complex does not readily provide additional insight into the function of the larger OLE RNP, it does lay the foundation for the eventual reconstitution of an active OLE RNP complex in vitro, allowing for the testing of specific hypotheses for biochemical function, and the further structural analysis of this unusual ncRNA.

Materials and Methods

Synthetic DNA oligonucleotides
All synthetic DNA oligonucleotides (Table 1) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich except for DLW137, which was purchased from the Keck Oligonucleotide Synthesis facility at Yale University.

**Bioinformatics**

OapB representatives were identified using NCBI BLAST with *B. halodurans* OapB (WP_010896340.1) as the query sequence. A list of organisms that contain *ole* was obtained from the OLE RNA alignment file created previously (Harris et al. 2018). The *oapB* gene was detected in each of these genomes using NCBI BLAST.

**RNA constructs**

Double-stranded DNA templates for *in vitro* RNA transcription were prepared by overlap extension reactions. Specifically, annealing incubations were conducted with 200 pmoles of each synthetic DNA strand in a mixture prepared by the addition of 10 μL 5x First Strand Buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 1 μL of a 10 mM (each) dNTP mixture, and deionized H$_2$O in a volume of 44 μL, which was heated to 90°C for 1 min, then cooled to 23°C. To this mixture was added 5 μL of 0.1 M DTT and 1 μL (200 U) Superscript II Enzyme (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The reaction was incubated at 42°C for 1 hour followed by 70°C for 15 min. DNA templates that did not require overlap extension reactions were diluted to 4 μM and heated to 90°C for 1 min. All DNA constructs served as templates for *in vitro* transcription using T7 RNA polymerase. The resulting RNAs were purified by denaturing (7 M urea) 10% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE), dephosphorylated,
and 5’ 32P-radiolabeled with γ32P-ATP using methods described previously (Block et al. 2011).

**Binding assays**

The OapB stock used for binding assays was purified and stored as described previously (Harris et al. 2018). RNA binding assays with OapB were performed as described previously (Harris et al. 2018), but with two exceptions. First, OapB stock solutions were prepared in concentrations that were ten-fold higher than the final concentrations used in the binding assays, in half-log intervals. Second, bound complexes were separated from free 5’ 32P-labeled OLE RNA constructs by using non-denaturing 10% PAGE at 20 W for 1-2 hours.

**Selection for mutant OLE RNAs that bind OapB**

A single-stranded synthetic DNA construct (Table 1, DLW137) containing a T7 RNA polymerase (T7 RNAP) promoter, 56 nucleotides prepared with a mutation rate of 6%, and two 15 nucleotide segments flanking the degenerate region to allow for amplification of the selected RNA representatives was ordered from the Keck Oligonucleotide Synthesis facility at Yale University. Double-stranded DNA templates for *in vitro* transcription (generated by primer-extension from DLW137 and DLW140) and the corresponding RNAs were produced as described above. Binding assays were prepared as previously described (Harris et al. 2018), with 100 μM OapB and 50 μM OLE-6 RNA, and were separated by nondenaturing 10% PAGE run for 3 h at 20 W. The band containing the OLE-OapB RNP complex was excised and subjected to crush-soak elution (200 mM NaCl, 10
mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 1 M urea) for 2 h. The eluted RNA was concentrated by precipitation with ethanol. Primers (Table 1, DLW145 and DLW167) were used to reverse transcribe the RNA. The resulting cDNAs were then amplified by PCR and used to generate a new pool of RNAs for the next round of selection. A total of two rounds of selection were performed and the resulting G₂ population was sequenced.

Construction of consensus model
The double-stranded G₂ DNA population was sequenced by the Yale Center for Genome Analysis, which yielded 10 million reads. Individual sequences were reconstructed from the pairwise reads, and identical reads were grouped and sorted by prevalence. Sequences with at least 500 reads (366 unique sequences) were compiled and used to generate the consensus RNA motif for OapB binding. Clustal Omega was used to align the sequences, followed by manual editing to refine the alignment (Sievers et al. 2011). The Stockholm file of aligned sequences was used to generate a consensus model for OapB binding with R2R (Weinberg and Breaker. 2011). To best display the data, R2R parameters were modified to report conservation of >99% (red), >95% (black), and >90% (gray), with all other parameters set at the default values.

Search for additional OapB interacting RNAs
The Stockholm file described above was modified to include only OLE RNA nucleotides 494-510. This trimmed file was run through cmbuild and Infernal algorithms to generate a calibrated covariance model (Nawrocki and Eddy. 2013). The calibrated covariance model
was run against the *B. halodurans* and *B. subtilis* genomes using *cmsearch* to generate a list of potential OapB-interacting sequences.

**Protein expression and purification for crystallography.**

An open reading frame encoding residue 5 to the C-terminus of *B. halodurans* OapB was fused to an N-terminal 6×His affinity tag and human rhinovirus (HRV) 3C protease cleavage sequence and cloned into the pET-11a expression vector (Millipore Sigma) between NdeI and BamHI restrictive enzyme sites. The corresponding OapB protein was overexpressed in *Escherichia coli* BL21 Star (DE3) cells (ThermoFisher Scientific) at 17 °C for 18 h.

Pelleted cells expressing recombinant OapB protein were resuspended in buffer A, which is composed of 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole (pH 7.5), 5% glycerol, and β-mercaptoethanol (β-ME). The mixture was homogenized using an M110EH Microfluidizer (Microfluidics International Corporation). Cell lysate was clarified by centrifugation using an SS-34 rotor (17,000 rpm for 1 h at 4 °C) and loaded onto a HisTrap HP affinity chromatography column (Cytiva Life Sciences) pre-equilibrated with buffer A. OapB protein was eluted from the HisTrap HP column over 20× column volumes (CV) with a linear gradient from buffer A to buffer B, the latter which is composed of 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 500 mM NaCl, 300 mM imidazole (pH 7.5), and 5% glycerol. Eluted protein from multiple fractions were pooled and supplemented with 2 mg of 6×His tagged HRV 3C protease and 1 mM (final concentration) β-ME. Protease cleavage and 6×His tag removal from OapB was performed at 4 °C for 24 h. The
protein sample was diluted with equal volume of buffer C, which is composed of 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.0) and 1 mM β-ME, and the mixture was loaded onto a HiTrap Heparin HP column (Cytiva Life Sciences). After washing with 5× CV of buffer C, OapB protein was eluted over 10× CV with a linear gradient from buffer C to buffer D, the latter which is composed of 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.0), 1 M NaCl, and 1 mM β-ME. The eluted OapB protein sample was supplemented with imidazole to a final concentration of 20 mM and passed through a HisTrap HP column again to remove residual 6×His tag, HRV 3C protease, and any uncleaved OapB protein. The flow through was collected, concentrated using an Amicon Ultra centrifugal filter with a 3 kDa cutoff, and further purified using a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 pg size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) column in buffer E, composed of 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 500 mM NaCl, and 1 mM Tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphine hydrochloride (TCEP-HCl).

**In vitro transcription and OLE min RNA purification for crystallography.**

The DNA template for *in vitro* transcription of OLE min RNA was generated by annealing equimolar amounts of two DNA oligonucleotides with complementary sequences (5’-TAA TAC GAC TCA CTA TAG GCC AGT CTG GCG TTT GGT GAC AGC GCC AAG TTC TTC GGA ATT GGG AAA TCC TAC TGG CC-3’ and 5’-[Gm][Gm]C CAG TAG GAT TTC CCA ATT CCG AAG AAC TTG GCG CTG TCA CCA AAC GCC AGA CTG GCC TAT AGT GAG TCG TAT TA-3’). The T7 RNA polymerase (RNAP) promoter sequence in the non-template DNA strand is underlined. Two guanosines denoted [Gm] on the 5’ end of template DNA strand are 2’-O-methylated to reduce 3’ end heterogeneity of the RNA transcript (Nawrocki and Eddy. 2013).
Transcription reactions (3 mL) were assembled using 1.2 nmol annealed DNA template, 300 µg T7 RNAP, 0.3 U inorganic pyrophosphatase (New England Biolabs) and 120 µL 25× RNAsecure RNase inactivation reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific) in 1× transcription buffer containing 80 mM HEPES-K (pH 7.5), 24 mM MgCl₂, 40 mM DTT, 2 mM spermidine, and 4 mM of each NTP. The resulting mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 2.5 h. After removing the pyrophosphate precipitate by centrifugation at 3,000 × g for 10 min, EDTA was added to the reaction mix to a final concentration of 50 mM. OLE min RNA was purified by extraction three times with acid phenol:chloroform (pH 4.5). The free nucleotides were subsequently removed using a Sephadex G-25 PD-10 desalting column (Cytiva Life Sciences) followed by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) using a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 pg column in buffer F, containing 20 mM sodium cacodylate (pH 6.5) and 100 mM NaCl.

**OapB-OLE min RNA complex assembly.**

Purified OapB protein and OLE min RNA were mixed in a 2:1 molar ratio and incubated at room temperature for 1 h. OapB-OLE min RNA complex was separated from excessive OapB by SEC as described above using buffer G, containing 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl, and 1 mM TCEP. Fractions containing OapB-OLE min RNA were pooled and stored at 4 °C for later use.

**Crystallization and data collection.**
Crystallization of OapB was achieved using the sitting-drop vapor diffusion method at 19 °C in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5), 100 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂ and 30% polyethylene glycol (PEG) 400. Crystals were cryo-protected in buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5), 100 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂ and 36% PEG 400 before being flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Iodine-derivative OapB crystals were prepared by soaking the crystals in buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5), 100 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 36% PEG 400 and 500 mM NaI at room temperature for 2 to 5 min. X-ray diffraction data were collected at 100 K at beamlines 24ID-E and 24ID-C of the Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Laboratory. The datasets for the native and iodine-derivative crystals were initially collected at wavelengths of 0.9792 Å and 1.4586 Å, respectively. Because the diffraction limit of the OapB crystals clearly exceeds the maximum achievable resolutions at these wavelengths, one more dataset was collected using the iodine-derivative crystal at a wavelength of 0.8266 Å to push the resolution limit of the dataset to 1.0 Å.

OapB-OLE min RNA complex preparations were concentrated to about 400 µM and used in crystallization screening. OapB-OLE min RNA complex crystals were grown by the sitting-drop vapor diffusion method at 19 °C in either 100 mM HEPES (pH 7.0 to 7.5), 200 mM NH₄Cl and 39 to 42.5% 2-Methyl-2,4-pentanediol (MPD) (crystal form I) or 240 mM sodium malonate (pH 6.0 to 7.0) and 20 to 21% PEG 3,350 (crystal form II). Cobalt-derivative OapB-OLE min RNA complex crystals were prepared by soaking crystal form I in buffer containing 100 mM HEPES (pH 7.0), 200 mM NH₄Cl, 45% MPD and 2 mM cobalt (III) hexammine chloride ([Co(NH₃)₆]Cl₃) at 19 °C for 14 h. Data were collected at beamline 24ID-C of the Advanced Photon Source at wavelengths of 0.9792 Å and 1.6058
Å for native and cobalt-derivative crystals, respectively. All X-ray diffraction datasets were processed with the XDS package (Kao et al. 1999).

**Crystal structure determination and refinement.**

Heavy atom sites were identified using SHELXD (Kabsch. 2010) and structures were solved using AutoSol (Sheldrick. 2008) by SIRAS method for OapB and molecular replacement with single wavelength anomalous dispersion (MR-SAD) method (Terwilliger et al. 2009) for the OapB-OLE min RNA complex. The initial models were automatically built using the AutoBuild (Panjikar et al. 2009) module of the PHENIX software package (Terwilliger et al. 2008) and manually rebuilt in Coot (Adams et al. 2010). Heavy atoms were placed based on refined anomalous difference maps. The structure of the native OapB-OLE min RNA complex in crystal form II was determined by molecular replacement using the cobalt-derivative complex structure as a search template. The models for the OapB apo structure were refined using phenix.refine (Emsly et al. 2010) in PHENIX with anisotropic individual $B$ factors for all non-hydrogen atoms. The models for the OapB-OLE min RNA complex were refined with Translation-Libration-Screw (TLS) parameters turned on. The final refined models were validated using MolProbity (Chou et al. 2013). Data collection and refinement statistics are summarized in *SI Appendix, Table S1*. All molecular representations were prepared using UCSF ChimeraX (Afonine et al. 2012).

**Accession codes.**

Atomic coordinates and associated structure factors have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) with accession codes XXXX (native OapB apo structure), XXXX.
(iodine-derivative OapB apo structure), XXXX (OapB-OLE min RNA complex, crystal form I) and XXXX (OapB-OLE min RNA complex, crystal form II).
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Figure 1. The *ole* and *oapB* genes frequently co-occur in bacterial genomes. Left: The gene for OLE RNA is present in the genomes of 296 bacterial species whose DNA has been completely sequenced. Of these, 282 species also carry a gene for OapB. Right: The gene for OapB is more widespread among bacteria than is the gene for OLE RNA.
Figure 2. Consensus models for the OapB-interacting region of OLE RNA. A, The OLE RNA consensus model of residues 486-578 for the 14 OLE RNAs from organisms that do not contain the oapB gene. B, The OLE RNA consensus model of residues 486-578 generated from 795 genomic and metagenomic samples (13).
Figure 3. EMSA data for OapB binding to OLE-1, a 100-nucleotide fragment of *B. halodurans* OLE RNA. 

**A.** Sequence and secondary structure model for WT OLE-1 and various mutants. Boxed nucleotides are altered as depicted for mutant constructs M1 and M2. Construct M3 combines the mutations from M1 and M2. 

**B.** Representative EMSA autoradiograms derived by incubating trace amounts of 5’ 32P-labeled RNA constructs as indicated either in the absence (−) of OapB, or in the presence of various concentrations of OapB ranging from 1 pM to 3.2 μM (in half-log increments). Bands corresponding to unbound or “free” RNA (f), bound RNA (b), and RNA undergoing a supershift (*) are annotated accordingly. 

**C.** Binding curves for WT and M1 OLE-1 RNA constructs with OapB derived from EMSA data as depicted in B. The plot for WT OLE-1 RNA excludes the highest two OapB concentrations examined to avoid the effects of the supershift. Error bars represent the standard error of the data based on at least three replicate experiments.
When absent, error bars are smaller than the symbols used to represent the value. I performed the experiments shown in this figure.
Figure 4. An OLE RNA fragment containing P13 and flanking regions is sufficient to form a complex with OapB. A, Characteristics of OapB binding to the OLE-2 RNA construct, which lacks the P14.1 region. Shaded nucleotides identify a UNCG tetraloop that was used in place of a portion of P14 and all of P14.1. OapB concentrations range from 1 pM to 1 μM (half-log increments). Additional annotations are as described in the legend to Fig. 3. B, OapB fails to bind the OLE-3 RNA construct, which lacks the P13 region. OapB concentrations range from 320 pM to 1 μM (half-log increments). Additional annotations are as described in the legend to Fig. 3. I performed the experiments shown in this figure.
Figure 5. Modifications to the minimized RNA construct OLE-2 that eliminate OapB binding. A, Replacement of the GNRA tetraloop in OLE-2 with a UNCG tetraloop (OLE-4) abolishes binding to OapB. Shaded boxes designate non-natural nucleotide sequences used to create the OLE-4 construct. OapB concentrations in the EMSA reactions range from 1 pM to 3.2 μM (half-log increments). Additional annotations are as described in the legend to Fig. 3. B, A truncated OLE-2 construct encompassing only P13 and a partial P14 stem (OLE-5) does not serve as a binding site for OapB. OapB concentrations range from 1 pM to 1 μM (half-log increments). Additional annotations are as described in the legend to Fig. 3. I performed the experiments shown in this figure.
Figure 6. Binding of full-length wild-type and M2 OLE RNA to OapB. A, EMSA autoradiogram of OapB binding to full-length wild-type OLE RNA (FL-OLE). B, EMSA autoradiogram of OapB binding to full-length OLE RNA with the P13 GNRA tetraloop mutated to a UNCG tetraloop (FL-OLE M2). OapB concentrations range from 100 pM to 320 nM (half-log increments). Bands corresponding to unbound or “free” RNA (f) and bound RNA (b). I performed the experiments shown in this figure.
Figure 7. A bulged nucleotide in P13 is required for OapB binding. A, EMSA autoradiograms of OapB binding to OLE-2 constructs wherein U497 has been mutated to C, A or G, respectively. OapB concentrations range from 1 pM to 1 μM (half-log increments). Additional annotations are as described in the legend to Fig. 3B. B, EMSA autoradiogram of OapB binding to an OLE-2 construct wherein nucleotide 497 has been deleted (U497Δ). C, Plot of the $K_D$ values for OapB binding to the WT OLE-2 construct, and for the various constructs with alterations at position 497. Note that the U497Δ construct has a $K_D$ value that is poorer than $10^{-6}$ M. Data were derived from the EMSA assay data depicted in A and B. I performed the experiments shown in this figure.
Figure 8. *In vitro* selection of mutant OLE-derived RNAs that are bound by OapB. 

A, Sequence and secondary structure model for the *in vitro* selection construct OLE-6, wherein the nucleotides depicted were mutagenized to a level of 6% degeneracy. Circled numbers identify the primer binding sites and the shaded nucleotides identify the UNCG tetraloop replacing the natural intervening sequences of OLE RNA. B, EMSA results for G₀ through G₂ RNA populations, and for the unmodified parental (P) OLE-6 construct. A band representing a putative protein-independent RNA dimer (d) is annotated. Additional annotations are as described in the legend to Fig. 3. C, Consensus model for the high-affinity OapB binding site based on the *B. halodurans* OLE RNP system. The consensus model was prepared by R2R using a covariation threshold of 10% (26). Red nucleotides are >99% conserved among the RNAs in the G₂ population with 500 or more representatives. I performed the experiments shown in this figure.
Figure 9. Model for OLE RNP complex.

A model for the *B. halodurans* OLE RNP complex showing where OapA and OapB interact. Gray shaded nucleotides are required for protein binding. Red residues are greater than 97% conserved across all OLE RNA examples (13).
Fig. 10. Constructs and structures of OapB and the OapB-OLE min RNA complex.

A, Sequence and secondary structure and B, crystal structure of *B. halodurans* OapB. The N-terminal SBB domain is colored orange, the C-terminal helices are colored violet, and
the conserved 27-residue KOW motif is colored green. C, Sequence and secondary structure model of the OLE min RNA used in crystallization. Stem P14 is capped with a non-native UUCG tetraloop (shaded box). Stem P12.2 is made more stable with two non-native G-C base pairs (lowercase letters). D, Front and back view of OapB-OLE min RNA complex crystal structure. Dr. Yang performed the experiments and analysis shown in this figure.
Figure 11. OapB-OLE min RNA complex reconstitution.  

A, Size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) profiles of OLE min RNA alone (orange) and OapB-OLE min RNA complex (blue). Due to lack of tryptophan residues in OapB, the peak corresponding to excessive OapB is not visible. 

B, The SEC purification fractions in the dashed line box were resolved on denaturing polyacrylamide gels and stained with SYBR Gold for RNA or stained with Coomassie blue for protein. A denatured double-stranded DNA marker was used in the gel stained with SYBR Gold. Dr. Yang performed the experiments shown in this figure.
Figure 12. Electron density maps for OapB and OapB-OLE min RNA complex structures. A, Final refined $2F_o-F_c$ map of the 1.0 Å OapB apo structure contoured at 1.0 σ. B, Structural model of the KOW motif in OapB superimposed with the refined $2F_o-F_c$ map contoured at 1.0 σ. C, Final refined $2F_o-F_c$ map (blue mesh) of the 2.1 Å OapB-OLE min RNA complex structure contoured at 1.0 σ. The anomalous difference map (magenta mesh) contoured at 5.0 σ shows six cobalt (III) hexammine-binding sites in OLE min RNA. Dr. Yang performed the analysis shown in this figure.
Figure 13. Conformational changes of OapB upon OLE RNA binding. **A**, Superimposition of OapB apo and OapB-OLE min RNA complex structures reveals notable conformational changes in several loops regions of OapB. **B**, Expanded view of the conformational changes of OapB residues at the OLE RNA-binding interface. Yang Yang performed the analysis shown in this figure. Dr. Yang performed the analysis shown in this figure.
Fig. 14. Tertiary interactions formed by OLE min RNA. A, Base-pairs observed at the 4H junction of OLE min RNA. Nucleotides from stems P12.2, P13, P14 and P15 are colored in purple, green, blue and red, respectively. The water molecule mediating the non-canonical C491-U573 base-pair in stem P12.2 is shown as a red sphere. Hydrogen bonds are shown as gray dashed lines. B–D, Minor groove base triple interactions formed between P12.2 helix and B, A504, C, G503 and D, U502 in the P13 hairpin tetraloop. Dr. Yang performed the analysis shown in this figure.
Figure 15. Molecular details of the OapB-OLE RNA interactions. A, Sequence and secondary structure models of the OLE RNA substructure with two OapB binding sites. Nucleotides not present in the OLE min RNA used for crystallization are shown as gray. B, Modeling of the G19S mutation in the OapB-OLE min RNA complex structure. G19 (orange) and S19 (transparent gray) are shown in ball-and-stick representation. Hydrogen bonds are shown as gray dashed lines. Potential steric clashes upon mutation are indicated by yellow dashed lines with distances indicated (in Å). C, Modeling of the H57Y mutation in the OapB-OLE min RNA complex structure. H57 (orange) and Y57 (transparent gray) are shown in ball-and-stick representation. Hydrogen bonds that would be lost due to H57Y mutation are labeled with red crosses. The potential steric clash upon mutation is indicated by yellow dashed lines with the distance indicated (in Å). D, Detailed interactions around
the bulged U498 region in crystal form II of the OapB-OLE min RNA complex. The phosphate group connecting U498 and U499 is highlighted in yellow. Dr. Yang performed the analysis shown in this figure.
Fig. 16. Molecular basis of OLE RNA recognition by OapB. A, Electrostatic surface representation of OapB in complex with OLE min RNA. The unit of electrostatic potential is kT/e⁻. B, Close-up view of the OapB-OLE RNA interactions at the P13 GNRA tetraloop.
region. Hydrogen bonds are shown as gray dashed lines. C, Close-up view of the interactions between OapB and the helix backbone of P12.2. D, Close-up view of the OapB-OLE RNA interactions near the bulged U498 nucleotide. The phosphate group connecting U498 and U499 is highlighted in yellow. Dr. Yang performed the analysis shown in this figure.
### Table 1. Synthetic DNA oligonucleotides.

Yellow shading denotes nucleotide changes from the WT or parental constructs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KH199</td>
<td>OLE-1, forward</td>
<td>TAATACGACTCACTATAGGAATTCCCAATATCCAGAGTGTCGGCGCCCATGGTCTGGGCTGGACGGCGTTTCCCTTTATGTGA ACTTCGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KH200</td>
<td>OLE-1, reverse</td>
<td>GTCCAGTACCTAGGATTTCCCAATATCCAGAGTGTCGGCGCCCATGGTCTGGGCTGGACGGCGTTTCCCTTTATGTGA ACTTCGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW070</td>
<td>M1 OLE-1, forward</td>
<td>TAATACGACTCACTATAGGAATTCCCAATATCCAGAGTGTCGGCGCCCATGGTCTGGGCTGGACGGCGTTTCCCTTTATGTGA ACTTCGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW071</td>
<td>M1 OLE-1, reverse</td>
<td>GTCCAGTACCTAGGATTTCCCAATATCCAGAGTGTCGGCGCCCATGGTCTGGGCTGGACGGCGTTTCCCTTTATGTGA ACTTCGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW072</td>
<td>OLE-3, forward</td>
<td>TAATACGACTCACTATAGGAATTCCCAATATCCAGAGTGTCGGCGCCCATGGTCTGGGCTGGACGGCGTTTCCCTTTATGTGA ACTTCGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW073</td>
<td>OLE-3, reverse</td>
<td>ATTCAGAGCTGTCGCGCCAGCTGGCCGTTTCCCTTTATGTGA ACTTCGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW074</td>
<td>OLE-2, forward</td>
<td>TAATACGACTCACTATAGGAATTCCCAATATCCAGAGTGTCGGCGCCCATGGTCTGGGCTGGACGGCGTTTCCCTTTATGTGA ACTTCGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW075</td>
<td>OLE-2, reverse</td>
<td>GTCCAGTAGGATTTCCCAATATCCAGAGTGTCGGCGCCCATGGTCTGGGCTGGACGGCGTTTCCCTTTATGTGA ACTTCGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW076</td>
<td>OLE 513-566</td>
<td>TAATACGACTCACTATAGGAATTCCCAATATCCAGAGTGTCGGCGCCCATGGTCTGGGCTGGACGGCGTTTCCCTTTATGTGA ACTTCGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW077</td>
<td>OLE 511-566</td>
<td>TCAACACCAGTGCGTCGCCGCTGGGCTGGGTTTCCCTTTATGTGA ACTTCGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW098</td>
<td>(RNA) OLE P13 construct</td>
<td>GUCUGGCUUUGGUGACAGCGCGCAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW099</td>
<td>OLE-4, forward</td>
<td>TAATACGACTCACTATAGGAATTCCCAATATCCAGAGTGTCGGCGCCCATGGTCTGGGCTGGACGGCGTTTCCCTTTATGTGA ACTTCGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW100</td>
<td>OLE-4, reverse</td>
<td>GTCCAGTAGGATTTCCCAATATCCAGAGTGTCGGCGCCCATGGTCTGGGCTGGACGGCGTTTCCCTTTATGTGA ACTTCGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW111</td>
<td>M3 OLE-1, Forward</td>
<td>TAATACGACTCACTATAGGAATTCCCAATATCCAGAGTGTCGGCGCCCATGGTCTGGGCTGGACGGCGTTTCCCTTTATGTGA ACTTCGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW112</td>
<td>M3 OLE-1, Reverse</td>
<td>GTCCAGTAGGATTTCCCAATATCCAGAGTGTCGGCGCCCATGGTCTGGGCTGGACGGCGTTTCCCTTTATGTGA ACTTCGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW113</td>
<td>M2 OLE-1, Forward</td>
<td>TAATACGACTCACTATAGGAATTCCCAATATCCAGAGTGTCGGCGCCCATGGTCTGGGCTGGACGGCGTTTCCCTTTATGTGA ACTTCGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW114</td>
<td>M2 OLE-1, Reverse</td>
<td>GTCCAGTAGGATTTCCCAATATCCAGAGTGTCGGCGCCCATGGTCTGGGCTGGACGGCGTTTCCCTTTATGTGA ACTTCGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW115 (RNA)</td>
<td>OLE-5</td>
<td>GUCUGGCGUUUGGUGACAGCGCCAAGUUCUACGGAUU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW137</td>
<td>T7 RNAP Promoter+15 fixed nts+mutagenized region+15 fixed residues</td>
<td>TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCTATGGACTGAA TCCAGTCTGGCGTTTGGTGACAGCGCCAAGTTC TACGGGAATTGGGAAATCCTACTTACGGACTT CATACGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW140</td>
<td>T7 RNAP Promoter +5 nts for extending mutagenesis library</td>
<td>TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCTATGGACTGAA T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW145</td>
<td>For DLW137 RT, reverse</td>
<td>CCGTATGAACCTGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW167</td>
<td>For DLW137 RT, forward, T7 promoter + 15 bases</td>
<td>TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCTATGGACTGAA T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW168</td>
<td>OLE-2, U490C and U490C + A574G forward</td>
<td>TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCTATGGACTGAA CTATAGGGCTATGGACTGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW170</td>
<td>OLE-2, U490C + A574G reverse</td>
<td>GTCCAGCTCAGTCTGGTCACCAAAACGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW171</td>
<td>OLE-2, U497C forward</td>
<td>TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCTATGGACTGAA T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW172</td>
<td>OLE-2, U497C reverse</td>
<td>GTCCAGCTCAGTCTGGTCACCAAAACGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW173</td>
<td>OLE-2, Δ497 forward</td>
<td>TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCTATGGACTGAA T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW174</td>
<td>OLE-2, Δ497 reverse</td>
<td>GTCCAGCTCAGTCTGGTCACCAAAACGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW175</td>
<td>OLE-2, U498C forward</td>
<td>TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCTATGGACTGAA T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW176</td>
<td>OLE-2, U498C reverse</td>
<td>GTCCAGCTCAGTCTGGTCACCAAAACGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW177</td>
<td>OLE-2, G500A forward</td>
<td>TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCTATGGACTGAA T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW178</td>
<td>OLE-2, G500A reverse</td>
<td>GTCCAGCTCAGTCTGGTCACCAAAACGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW181</td>
<td>OLE-2, U497G forward</td>
<td>TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCTATGGACTGAA T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW182</td>
<td>OLE-2, U497G reverse</td>
<td>GTCCAGCTCAGTCTGGTCACCAAAACGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW183</td>
<td>OLE-2, G500A + C505U forward</td>
<td>TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCTATGGACTGAA T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW184</td>
<td>OLE-2, G500A + C505U reverse</td>
<td>GTCCAGCTCAGTCTGGTCACCAAAACGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLW215</td>
<td>OLE-2, U497A forward</td>
<td>TAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCTATGGACTGAA T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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| DLW216 | OLE-2, U497A reverse | GTCCAGTAGGATTTCCTCCTTCCGTTAGAACTTG GCGCTGTCACCA TACGC |
Table 2. Computational search results for additional OapB-interacting RNAs in *B. halodurans*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hit Number</th>
<th>Start-End</th>
<th>Strand</th>
<th>E value</th>
<th>Gene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2908483-2908467</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.0 x 10^{-8}</td>
<td>ole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3562330-3562314</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.2 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>AYT26_RS17310 (Na+/H+ antiporters NhaC family protein)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>618968-618946</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>AYT26_RS03175 (GNAT family N-acetyltransferase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>985772-985757</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>AYT26_RS04795 (6-phospho-beta-glucosidase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>508763-508785</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>AYT26_RS02615 (helix-turn-helix domain-containing protein)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2882577-2882593</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>xylA (xylose isomerase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2679483-2679463</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>sigE (RNA polymerase sporulation sigma factor SigE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>273046-273010</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>urtB (urea ABC transporter permease subunit UrtB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3621304-3621289</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>AYT26_RS17615 (hypothetical protein)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>764127-764111</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>uxaC (glucuronate isomerase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2130805-2130785</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>AYT26_RS10300 (cellulase family glycosylhydrolase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2605020-2605004</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>smc (chromosome segregation protein SMC)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3. Computational search results for additional OapB-interacting RNAs in *B. subtilis* subsp. subtilis str. 168.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hit Number</th>
<th>Start-End</th>
<th>Strand</th>
<th>E value</th>
<th>Gene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2546679-2526693</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>gcvPB (glycine decarboxylase (subunit 2)(glycine cleavage system protein P))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3184232-3184258</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>gbsB (choline dehydrogenase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3433691-3433675</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>cysJ (assimilatory sulfite reductase (flavoprotein alpha-subunit))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1460057-1460041</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>ptsI (phosphotransferase system (PTS) enzyme I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>934132-934105</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>yfhO (conserved membrane protein)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1071126-1071142</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>prsA (molecular chaperone lipoprotein)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3960227-3960242</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>licC (phosphotransferase system (PTS) lichenan-specific enzyme IIC component)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>969585-969598</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>yhbB (conserved hypothetical protein)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1946584-1946600</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>yngA (putative conserved membrane protein possibly involved in arabinogalactan metabolism)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1541126-1541169</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>nprE (extracellular neutral metalloprotease)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>681656-681676</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>ydjP (putative aminoacylase hydrolase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>884096-884076</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>acoR (transcriptional regulator (AcrR-acetoin))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4. X-ray crystallography data collection, phasing and refinement statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data collection</th>
<th>OapB apo native (PDB XXXX)</th>
<th>OapB apo I-derivative (PDB XXXX)</th>
<th>OapB-OLE min RNA complex (Crystal form I) (PDB XXXX)</th>
<th>OapB-OLE min RNA complex (Crystal form II) (PDB XXXX)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Space group</strong></td>
<td>( P 1 2_1 1 )</td>
<td>( P 1 2_1 1 )</td>
<td>( R 3 2 )</td>
<td>( P 6_5 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cell dimensions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a ), ( b ), ( c ) (Å)</td>
<td>47.918, 34.909, 49.811</td>
<td>47.904, 34.912, 49.637</td>
<td>98.817, 98.817, 198.897</td>
<td>71.99, 71.99, 223.905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha ), ( \beta ), ( \gamma ) (°)</td>
<td>90, 90.24, 90</td>
<td>90, 90.151, 90</td>
<td>90, 90, 120</td>
<td>90, 90, 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resolution (Å)</strong></td>
<td>47.92–1.20 (1.245–1.20)</td>
<td>49.64–1.00 (1.036–1.0)</td>
<td>41.83–2.098 (2.173–2.098)</td>
<td>54.47–2.1 (2.175–2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>I / ( \sigma )</strong></td>
<td>17.8 (5.1)</td>
<td>10.8 (1.1)</td>
<td>29.1 (1.7)</td>
<td>16.4 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Completeness (%)</strong></td>
<td>98.3 (92.9)</td>
<td>96.4 (92.1)</td>
<td>99.7 (99.1)</td>
<td>99.2 (99.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Redundancy</strong></td>
<td>3.3 (2.8)</td>
<td>4.2 (4.1)</td>
<td>9.6 (9.3)</td>
<td>3.5 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Refinement**

| Resolution (Å) | 47.92–1.20 (1.245–1.20) | 49.64–1.00 (1.036–1.0) | 41.83–2.098 (2.173–2.098) | 54.47–2.1 (2.175–2.1) |
| No. reflections | 50646 (4788) | 85600 (8113) | 22151 (2149) | 37854 (3791) |
| \( R_{work} / R_{free} \) | 0.1212/0.1521 | 0.1488/0.1628 | 0.1658/0.1997 | 0.1717/0.2041 |
| **No. atoms** |                             |                                  |                                                   |                                                   |
| Macromolecules | 1761 | 1788 | 2007 | 3969 |
| Ligand/ion | 2 | 17 | 97 | 64 |
| Water | 314 | 239 | 240 | 404 |
| **B-factors** |                             |                                  |                                                   |                                                   |
| Macromolecules | 14.26 | 13.26 | 72.18 | 70.19 |
| Ligand/ion | 14.16 | 20.48 | 89.04 | 84.33 |
| Water | 28.7 | 25.75 | 74.05 | 68.42 |
| **R.m.s deviations** |                             |                                  |                                                   |                                                   |
| Bond lengths (Å) | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.002 | 0.006 |
| Bond angles (°) | 1.14 | 1.12 | 0.51 | 1.05 |
| **Validation** |                             |                                  |                                                   |                                                   |
| MolProbity score | 1.19 | 1.46 | 1.22 | 1.39 |
| Clashscore | 2.23 | 4.38 | 2.24 | 3.21 |
| Poor rotamers (%) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| **Ramachandran plot** |                             |                                  |                                                   |                                                   |
| Favored (%) | 96.88 | 96.35 | 96.59 | 95.93 |
| Allowed (%) | 3.12 | 3.65 | 3.41 | 4.07 |
| Disallowed (%) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

*Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell.*
Chapter Three

Disruption of the OLE RNP complex causes differential regulation of metal ion transporters

Summary

OLE RNA is atypical for a bacterial RNA in that it is large, highly structured, and localizes to the cellular membrane through an interaction with a protein partner. This protein partner, OapA, possesses some sequence similarity to known magnesium (Mg$^{2+}$) transporters, leading to the discovery that *Bacillus halodurans* becomes sensitive to Mg$^{2+}$ when the *ole* and/or *oapA* genes are knocked out. To understand how the OLE ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex affects *B. halodurans* gene expression total RNA was isolated from strains with wild type and disrupted OLE RNP complexes. From this it was found that under standard growth conditions (37 °C, LB pH 10.0) strains with a disrupted OLE RNP complex downregulate two different Mg$^{2+}$ importers. Whereas under stressed growth conditions (24 °C, 3% EtOH, or 5 mM MgCl$_2$) strains with a disrupted OLE RNP complex downregulate genes for predicted manganese (Mn$^{2+}$) importers and upregulated a Mn$^{2+}$ riboswitch controlled *terC* class Mn$^{2+}$ exporter. Additionally, several genes involved in glutamate and proline synthesis were upregulated in strains with a disrupted OLE RNP complex. With the RNA-seq data strengthening the evidence for OLE RNA playing a role in Mg$^{2+}$ homeostasis, I hypothesized that OLE RNA might respond to intracellular Mg$^{2+}$ by undergoing a structural rearrangement that regulates OapA function. In-line probing results confirmed that OLE RNA does indeed dramatically restructure at physiologically relevant Mg$^{2+}$ concentrations, that this restructuring occurs similarly in OLE RNAs from different
species, and that it can be disrupted by a single point mutation. However, as large RNAs universally utilize cations for proper folding, this finding is only the first step to understanding how the OLE RNP complex regulates Mg$^{2+}$ homeostasis.

**Introduction**

As discussed in chapter 2, OLE RNA has characteristics that suggest it almost certainly preforms a sophisticated biological function. It is large, highly structured, and contains many conserved residues, a rarity for bacterial noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs). When OLE RNA and/or its protein partner OapA (OLE-assoiated protein A) are knocked out *Bacillus halodurans* exhibits a stress profile that is distinct from that of any known ncRNA. Knockouts of *ole, oapA, or ole-oapA* become sensitive to cold, short chain alcohols, and slightly elevated magnesium (Mg$^{2+}$) (Wallace et al. 2012, Harris et al. 2019). While sensitivity to cold and short chain alcohols are relatively common phenotypes due to the complexity of the response they elicit, sensitivity to slightly elevated Mg$^{2+}$ is extremely uncommon (Armitano et al. 2016).

OLE RNA is also unusual in that it localizes to the cell membrane via an interaction with OapA (Block et al. 2011). OapA is a transmembrane protein with no close homologs (Block et al. 2011). However, in *Aeribacillus pallidus* the *oapA* gene is annotated as *citMHS* (Harris et al. 2019). In other species CitMHS is a Mg$^{2+}$/citrate symporter (Boorsma et al. 1996, Wakeman et al. 2014). Additionally, OapA contains a DUF21 domain that is found in various transporter proteins (El-Gebali et al. 2019). Two other, somewhat closely related DUF21 domain containing proteins have been shown to function as Mg$^{2+}$ exporters (Akanuma et al. 2014, Armitano et al. 2016, Harris et al. 2019).
In this chapter I discuss additional evidence that the OLE ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex functions in regulating Mg$^{2+}$ homeostasis and provide the first evidence that the complex might also play a role in manganese (Mn$^{2+}$) homeostasis. With strong support for a connection between the OLE RNP complex and regulation of intracellular Mg$^{2+}$ I began testing the specific hypothesis that OLE RNA might directly respond to increasing Mg$^{2+}$ concentrations by altering its conformation. This chapter includes preliminary evidence that OLE RNA undergoes a conformational change as Mg$^{2+}$ concentrations increase beyond the ideal physiological range.

Results and Discussion

RNA-seq reveals differential expression of multiple metal ion transporters in B. halodurans strains with disrupted OLE RNP complexes

Previous RNA-seq data showed that OLE RNA is abundant in B. halodurans and that levels of the RNA increase 5.4 fold under ethanol stress (Wallace et al. 2012). In this study I expand significantly on that work, looking for genes that are differentially regulated between wild type (WT), Δole-oapA, and PM1 strains of B. halodurans under standard (LB pH 10.0 at 37 °C) or one of three stressed growth conditions (24 °C, 3% EtOH v/v, or 5 mM MgCl$_2$).

Under standard growth conditions very few genes are substantially downregulated in strains with disrupted OLE RNP complexes (Δole-oapA and PM1) compared to the WT B. halodurans. In fact, the only gene to be substantially downregulated in both Δole-oapA and PM1 strains is a gene for an MgtE class magnesium importer (Fig. 1 A). A second gene for another MgtE class magnesium importer is also consistently downregulated in
both Δole-oapA and PM1 strains compared to WT, though it should be noted that this second gene has extremely low expression (<10 TPKM). Both these genes are regulated by at least one M-box RNA, a class of Mg$^{2+}$ riboswitch that turns off gene expression when intracellular Mg$^{2+}$ levels are elevated (Dann et al. 2007, Ramesh and Winkler 2010), suggesting that intracellular Mg$^{2+}$ is high in Δole-oapA and PM1 strains. In addition to regulation at the transcriptional level, MgtE transporters lock into a closed conformation that prevents transport when intracellular Mg$^{2+}$ concentrations are high (Hattori et al. 2007, Hattori et al. 2009). Multiple layers of control are typical for Mg$^{2+}$ homeostasis in Firmicutes (Groisman et al. 2013, Chandrangsu et al. 2017, Tracshel et al. 2019).

Under stressed conditions (24 °C, 3% EtOH, or 5 mM MgCl$_2$) a larger number of genes were differentially regulated. While these genes varied greatly from one stressed condition to another, one set of related genes was consistently differentially regulated in both Δole-oapA and PM1 strains, Mn$^{2+}$ importers and exporters (Fig. 2 B). Three genes that encode putative Mn$^{2+}$ importers or components of Mn$^{2+}$ importers were consistently downregulated in Δole-oapA and PM1 strains compared to WT transcript levels. Two of the genes (AYT26_RS07215 and AYT26_RS07220) are adjacent to one another in the genome and likely regulated together.

In additional to the putative Mn$^{2+}$ importers, a TerC-class Mn$^{2+}$ exporter was differentially regulated between WT and strains with disrupted OLE RNP complexes (Fig. 2 B). The terC transcript is consistently upregulated in Δole-oapA and PM1 strains, except for one of the three replicates for the Δole-oapA strain under ethanol stress, which I believe to be a statistical outlier. The *B. halodurans* terC gene is controlled by a Mn$^{2+}$ responsive riboswitch that terminates transcription when intracellular Mn$^{2+}$ levels are low (Dambach
et al. 2015, Price et al. 2015). Interestingly, under standard growth condition none of these genes are differentially regulated (Fig. 2 B). These data suggest that under stressed conditions intracellular Mn\(^{2+}\) is elevated in Δole-oapA and PM1 strains. Whereas a previous connection had been observed between the OLE RNP complex and Mg\(^{2+}\), this is the first evidence that the complex might also be involved in Mn\(^{2+}\) homeostasis.

**Genes involved in glutamate and proline synthesis are frequently upregulated in B. halodurans strains with disrupted OLE RNP complexes**

Several genes involved in glutamate and proline synthesis are upregulated in Δole-oapA and PM1 strains. Genes for glutamine-hydrolyzing carbamoyl-phosphate synthase small subunit (AYT26_RS12810), glutamine--fructose-6-phosphate transaminase (isomerizing) (GFPT1, AYT26_RS01550), glutamate 5-kinase (AYT26_RS07785), and glutamate-5-semialdehyde dehydrogenase (AYT26_RS07780) are upregulated in Δole-oapA and/or PM1 in at least two conditions (Fig. 2). Both glutamine-hydrolyzing carbamoyl-phosphate and GFPT1 are involved in catalysis of glutamine to glutamate (Piette et al. 1984, Teplyakov et al. 1999). From that point, glutamate 5-kinase and glutamate-5-semialdehyde dehydrogenase each catalyze a step in converting glutamate to proline (Majumdar et al. 2016). Other glutamate-related genes such as NADP-specific glutamate dehydrogenase (AYT26_RS10685), alpha-L-glutamate ligase (AYT26_RS04430), and glutamate synthase subunit beta (AYT26_RS08890) are sometimes differentially regulated, but in a less consistent manner.

This suggests that Δole-oapA and PM1 strains are producing a large amount of glutamate that is subsequently converted into proline. Overproduction of proline, a
common compatible solute, is a direct response to high osmolarity in closely related *Bacillus subtilis* (Brill et al. 2011). Why disruption of the OLE RNP complex causes increased osmolarity is unclear at this time, though I speculate that a disruption in divalent cation homeostasis might led to high osmolarity in *B. halodurans* through a yet unknown mechanism.

**OLE RNA undergoes a structural rearrangement at biologically relevant Mg\(^{2+}\) concentrations**

With numerous links between the OLE RNP complex and Mg\(^{2+}\) I began to hypothesize possible functions of OLE RNA in Mg\(^{2+}\) homeostasis. Given that structured ncRNAs have previously been observed responding to intracellular Mg\(^{2+}\) concentrations by switching from an ‘on-state’ to an ‘off-state’ (Cromie et al. 2006, Dann et al. 2007) I asked if OLE RNA could potentially do the same. If the OLE RNP complex functions in a manner similar to MpfA proteins, DUF21 domain containing Mg\(^{2+}\) exporters with some sequence similarity to OapA (Akanuma et al. 2014, Armitano et al. 2016, Harris et al. 2019), then it might be possible to predict the function of OLE RNA. MpfA contains three domains, the transmembrane DUF21 domain, a CBS domain, and a CorC/HlyC domain. Both the CBS and CorC/HlyC domains are responsible for regulation of Mg\(^{2+}\) transport by the DUF21 domain (Akanuma et al. 2014). While the exact regulatory mechanism for MpfA has not been established, in MgtE, another Mg\(^{2+}\) transporter, the CBS domain binds ATP, helping to coordinate Mg\(^{2+}\) binding and switch the transporter from an open to a closed conformation (Tomita et al. 2017). I hypothesized that OapA might function as a
Mg\(^{2+}\) transporter and that OLE RNA might take the place of the CBS and CorC/HlyC domains in regulating OapA.

To test this hypothesis, I first explored how OLE RNA responds to increasing Mg\(^{2+}\) concentrations. In-line probing of \emph{B. halodurans} OLE RNA shows that the RNA undergoes a structural rearrangement near physiological Mg\(^{2+}\) concentrations (Fig. 3). Interestingly, two of the sites with the most pronounced modulation, C24 and C82, are within the base-paired regions that bind OapA (Block et al. 2011) (Fig. 4). One of those nucleotides, C24 shows a particularly unusual modulation pattern in which the band increases then decreases in intensity. This pattern suggests that the residue goes from a highly structured state at low Mg\(^{2+}\) to a relatively unstructured state around physiological Mg\(^{2+}\) concentrations, then returns to a highly structured state at hyper-physiological Mg\(^{2+}\) concentrations. This structural rearrangement is not specific to \emph{B. halodurans} OLE RNA. \emph{Fictibacillus gelatini} OLE RNA modulates similarly with increasing Mg\(^{2+}\) concentrations (Fig. 5).

**A point mutation prevents structural rearrangement of OLE RNA at biologically relevant Mg\(^{2+}\) concentrations**

Structural rearrangement of large ncRNAs is common under increasing Mg\(^{2+}\) (Draper 2004, Lambert and Draper. 2007, Dann et al. 2007), so in order to differentiate OLE RNA from other systems Chrishan Fernando and I sought to find a single point mutation that prevented modulation at biologically relevant Mg\(^{2+}\) concentrations. Before testing multiple point mutations, I verified that a truncated OLE RNA (containing nucleotides 30-433) no longer modulates (Fig. 6). With the OLE\(^{30-433}\) construct modulation is disrupted at all positions except one, shown with an asterisk. I speculate that the position
that retains modulation does so because of local rather than global restructuring of the RNA.

Chrishan Fernando and I generated and tested several point mutants of OLE RNA to search for variants that prevented structural rearrangement at biological Mg\(^{2+}\) concentrations. Specific mutants were chosen using criteria such as targeting highly conserved nucleotides, positions potentially capable of long-range interactions (Wallace et al. 2012), and positions that modulate under in-line probing conditions. Six point mutations tested (C56A, G71U, C85A, G114U, U462A, and G566U) did not affect modulation of OLE RNA (data not shown). Only one point mutant, C36A, prevented the restructuring of OLE RNA under physiological Mg\(^{2+}\) concentrations (**Fig. 7**). While this result is encouraging and supports the hypothesis that OLE RNA might function as a fine-tuned sensor for intracellular Mg\(^{2+}\) concentrations, I stress that significant additional data is required to understand how OLE RNA and OapA regulate Mg\(^{2+}\) homeostasis.

**Conclusions**

Multiple lines of evidence suggest that the OLE RNP complex plays a critical role in Mg\(^{2+}\) homeostasis, though a specific mechanism of action remains elusive. All available data is consistent with the possibility that OapA is a magnesium exporter and that OLE RNA could function as an exceptionally sophisticated regulatory RNA. This hypothesis represents a potential novel function for a large ncRNA that is not completely without precedent. Some Mg\(^{2+}\) transporters are known to directly respond to intracellular Mg\(^{2+}\) concentrations by shifting from one conformation to another (Hattori et al. 2007, Hattori et al. 2009), as are ncRNAs (Dann et al. 2007). What is not clear from the available data is
why, if this hypothesis is correct, OLE RNA is as large and highly conserved as it is. This could be explained with one of two possibilities. First, the OLE RNP complex might have additional functions beyond Mg\(^{2+}\) homeostasis. Second, regulation of a transporter by a Mg\(^{2+}\)-sensing RNA might require more structural features and conservation than is typical for other roles ncRNAs fulfill. Regardless, additional experiments are required to show whether the structural change OLE RNA undergoes at physiological Mg\(^{2+}\) concentrations is biologically relevant.

This work represents the first evidence that the OLE RNP complex may also play a role in Mn\(^{2+}\) homeostasis. Though it is also possible that the differential expression of Mn\(^{2+}\) transporters is a consequence of disruptions to Mg\(^{2+}\) homeostasis, as it has recently been shown that loss and dysregulation of Mg\(^{2+}\) transporters in \textit{B. subtilis} can protect cells from Mn\(^{2+}\) and Co\(^{2+}\) intoxication (Pi et al. 2020). Discovering why Mg\(^{2+}\) transporters are differentially regulated under standard growth conditions and Mn\(^{2+}\) transporters become differentially regulated when stress is introduced could have broader implications in understanding how bacteria cope with metal ion stress.

**Materials and Methods**

**Isolation of Total RNA**

The \textit{Dole-oapA} and PM1 strains of \textit{B. halodurans} used in this study were previously described in (Wallace et al. 2012) and (Harris et al. 2018) respectively. Cells were diluted to OD\(_{600}\) = 0.01 in LB pH 10.0 from an overnight culture and grown at 37 °C. For standard growth samples, the cells were grown to OD\(_{600}\) = 0.35-0.40 and harvested. For stressed conditions, the cells were grown to OD\(_{600}\) = 0.2 then exposed to the appropriate stress for
3 hours before harvest (24 °C, 3% EtOH v/v, or 5 mM MgCl$_2$). Cells were lysed by freeze-thawing in 100 µl TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0) + 3 mg/ml lysozyme. Total RNA was isolated using a Qiagen RNeasy Mini Kit (Cat. No. / ID: 74104). DNA was removed using the TURBO DNA-free™ kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Catalog number: AM1907). rRNA was removed from up to 10 µg of sample with the RiboMinus™ Transcriptome Isolation kit, Bacteria (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Catalog number: K155004). PCR for ole DNA was performed on relevant samples to ensure that all DNA had been digested. Purified RNA samples were then sent to the Yale Center for Genomic Analysis for deep sequencing. Each sample was sequenced to a depth of ~10 million reads.

**Analysis of RNA-seq data**

*Data preparations.* We conducted # experiments, each with a varying number of samples (6-#) under different stress conditions and extracted the RNA transcripts for each experiment as described above. We mapped the reads of the NGS sequencing data to the *Bacillus halodurans* C-125 reference genome (genome assembly GCF_000011145.1) using the HISAT2 alignment program (Kim et al. 2019). We then used the Picard command-line tools (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/) to filter reads of low quality, and the SAMtools (Li et al. 2009) package to sort the alignment and prepare the reads for processing.

*Calculating the TPKM counts of the data.* Using the Bedtools package, we calculated the coverage of the identified sequences in the reference genome (Quinlan et al. 2010). We assembled those reads into full-length transcripts with the StringTie assembler (Pertea et
al. 2015) and used DESeq2 (Love et al. 2014) to analyze the differentially expressed genes between the various samples. Finally, to evaluate the change in transcripts between the samples we calculate the transcripts per kilobase million (TPKM) for each of the genes. TPKM normalizes the number of transcripts to the length of the respective gene and the sequencing depth.

*Generation of heatmaps.* Heatmaps were made using the average TPM value per gene across biological replicates as the input data. Each TPM value was transformed by log2 and then the pheatmap package in R was used to scale each dataset and plot heatmaps (Gu et al. 2016).

**RNA oligonucleotide preparation and in-line probing**

Colony PCR was performed on *B. halodurans* as previously described (Block et al. 2011). RNA products were made by adding 10 µl of PCR product to a 100 µl in vitro transcription reaction and incubating at 37 °C for 2-4 hours. 5′ 32P-radiolabeling, purification, and in-line probing conditions were as previously described (Regulski and Breaker. 2008) with the exceptions that MgCl2 was removed from the in-line probing buffer and the reaction was run for 24 hours.

**Generation of point mutations in OLE RNA**

Point mutations to OLE RNA were generated through site-directed mutagenesis of the WT pHCMC05::ole-oapA plasmid (Wallace et al. 2012) with the QuikChange II XL kit (Agilent, Part number: 200521).
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Figure 1. Differential expression of metal ion transporters in wild type (WT), Δole-oapA (KO), and PM1 strains of Bacillus halodurans. A) Expression of two putative mgtE genes (AYT26_RS09950 and AYT26_RS16210) under standard growth conditions (37 °C, LB pH 10.0). Each strain includes three replicates. B) Expression of three putative Mn^{2+} importers (AYT26_RS02770, AYT26_RS07215, and AYT26_RS07220) and one putative terC class Mn^{2+} exporter (AYT26_RS12890) under standard growth conditions (Std) or stressed with either cold (24 °C), ethanol (3% v/v), or MgCl₂ (5 mM). Each grid section represents the average of three replicates. All like samples fall within one standard
deviation of one another except one of the three replicates KO strain terC under ethanol stress, which was expressed at significantly higher levels in that sample. I performed the experiments, and Aya Narunsky, Seth Lyon, and I performed the bioinformatic analysis for this figure.
Figure 2. Differential expression of glutamate and proline synthesis genes in wild type (WT), $\Delta$ole-oapA (KO), and PM1 strains of *B. halodurans*. Expression of three genes involved in the conversion of glutamine to glutamate and proline under standard growth conditions (Std) or stressed with either cold (24 °C), ethanol (3% EtOH v/v), or slightly elevated magnesium (5 mM MgCl$_2$). Each grid section represents the average of three replicates. I performed the experiments, and Aya Narunsky, Seth Lyon, and I performed the bioinformatic analysis for this figure.
Figure 3. In-line probing of *B. halodurans* OLE RNA at increasing Mg\(^{2+}\) concentrations. PAGE autoradiograph of 5' \(^{32}\)P-labeled OLE RNA subjected to in-line probing conditions with 1 mM EDTA or MgCl\(_2\) (10 µM to 32 mM in quarter-log increments). NR, T1, and -OH lanes were subjected to no reaction, digestion with RNase T1 (cleavage after G residues), and incomplete digestion under alkaline conditions (cleaves after each nucleotide), respectively. The RNA could be mapped up to nucleotide G92, after
which point the individual bands from the alkaline digest became too compressed to confidently distinguish individual nucleotides. Increased scission at higher Mg$^{2+}$ concentrations is a feature of in-line probing and not necessarily an indication of structural change (Regulski and Breaker. 2008). I performed the experiment for this figure.
Figure 4. A primary and secondary structure model of *B. halodurans* OLE RNA highlighting nucleotides that modulate with increasing Mg²⁺. The nucleotide sequence of the construct used in Fig. 3 denoting increases and decreases in scission. Nucleotides beyond G92 could not be accurately mapped.
Figure 5. In-line probing of *Fictobacillus gelatini* OLE RNA with increasing Mg$^{2+}$. PAGE autoradiograph of 5' $^{32}$P-labeled OLE RNA subjected to in-line probing conditions with 1 mM EDTA or MgCl$_2$ (100 µM to 32 mM in quarter-log increments). All annotations are as described in Fig. 3. Chrishan Fernando performed the experiment for this figure.
Figure 6. In-line probing of a truncated OLE RNA with increasing Mg$^{2+}$. PAGE autoradiograph of 5’ $^{32}$P-labeled OLE RNA$_{30-433}$ subjected to in-line probing conditions with 1 mM EDTA or MgCl$_2$ (100 µM to 100 mM in quarter-log increments). All annotations are as described in Fig. 3. Arrows on the righthand side of the gel show bands
that modulate in full-length OLE RNA. The asterisk denotes a band that continues to modulate in OLE\textsubscript{30-433}. I performed the experiment for this figure.
Figure 7. In-line probing of C36A and wild type (WT) OLE RNA with increasing Mg$^{2+}$. PAGE autoradiograph of 5' $^{32}$P-labeled C36A and WT OLE RNA subjected to in-line probing conditions with 1 mM EDTA or MgCl$_2$ (56 µM to 32 mM in quarter-log increments). All annotations are as described in Fig. 3. Arrows on the righthand side
indicate where modulation differs between C36A and WT OLE RNA. Chrishan Fernando performed the experiment for this figure.
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Summary

Most structured single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) motifs are compact and simplistic, yet in circumstances where DNA exists outside the double helix for prolonged periods more complex ssDNA structures can evolve. Recently three ssDNA motifs associated with the IS605 transposon superfamily, HEARO (HNH Endonuclease Associated RNA and ORF), IS605-orfB-I, and IS605-orfB-II, were found to be among the most structurally complex ssDNA motifs documented. Although members of the IS605 superfamily are known to utilize DNA hairpins in transposition, the extent of secondary structure in these new motifs suggests that they serve additional biochemical functions. Of these motifs HEARO is the most widespread and structurally complex. In this work I analyze the distribution of HEARO and its frequency per host genome. I compare its ssDNA structure to other members of the IS605 superfamily, including those containing IS605-orfB-I and IS605-orfB-II motifs and analyze the domain architecture of its associated endonuclease in comparison to closely related proteins. For the IS605-orfB-I and IS605-orfB-II motifs I quantify co-occurrence with the two IS605-associated proteins, TnpA and TnpB. From that analysis I show that IS605-orfB-I motifs are typically associated with non-autonomous transposons, while IS605-orfB-II motifs are predominately associated with autonomous transposons. Thru careful study of three convergent motifs, this work offers new insight into the evolution of structurally complex ssDNAs.

Introduction
While structured RNAs are common in biology, DNA rarely forms structures other than the iconic double helix and consequently structured single-stranded DNAs (ssDNAs) are rare in biology. However, there are a handful of situations in which DNA naturally enters a single-stranded state. These situations are most common in mobile genetic elements (MGEs). MGEs such as ssDNA viruses, rolling circle plasmids, integrons, and some transposons exist as ssDNA in at least one stage of their replicative cycles (Bikard et al. 2010). Often the most complex ssDNA structures are found in ssDNA phages where the pressure and opportunity to evolve such structures is highest (Lambert et al. 1986, Das et al. 2010, Bikard et al. 2010). Additionally, non-mobile, structured DNA motifs can occur in the form of G-quarduplexes and msDNA (Bartas et al. 2019, Simon et al. 2019).

In this chapter I will discuss three of the most highly structured naturally occurring ssDNAs, HEARO (HNH Endonuclease Associated RNA and ORF), IS605-orfB-I, and IS605-orfB-II. When first published in 2009 HEARO was predicted to function as an RNA in part because no single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) of comparable complexity had previously been reported (Weinberg et al. 2009) However, I discovered that the HNH endonuclease associated with the HEARO motif is a homolog of the IS605 TnpB protein. Unlike HEARO, the two smaller and more narrowly distributed IS605-associated motifs, IS605-orfB-I and IS605-orfB-II, were immediately recognized to function as ssDNA motifs through a strong co-occurrence with *tnpB* genes associated with IS605 insertion sequences (Weinberg et al. 2017). The transposon family containing IS605, IS200, IS1341, and IS607 insertion sequences is notable for its use of ssDNA intermediates in a form of transposition known as peel-and-paste. Here I will refer to peel-and-paste transposons collectively as the IS605 superfamily.
Two proteins are associated with the IS605 superfamily, TnpA and TnpB. The transposase, TnpA, is part of the HUH endonuclease family, a class of proteins adept at cleaving and rejoicing ssDNA (Chandler et al. 2013). TnpA forms a homodimer that recognizes imperfect ssDNA hairpins at the 5’ and 3’ ends of the transposon, with one monomer binding to each hairpin and exciting the ssDNA through nucleophilic attack by a tyrosine residue (Ronning et al. 2005, Ton-Hoang et al. 2005, Lee et al. 2006, Guynet et al. 2008, Hickman et al. 2010). This forms a 5’ covalent bond with the ssDNA molecule and induces a \textit{trans} to \textit{cis} conformational shift in the tyrosine-containing \(\alpha\)-helix (He et al. 2013). This conformational shift positions TnpA to circularize the ssDNA by transferring the 5’ phosphate to the 3’-OH (He et al. 2013). TnpA transports the circularized transposon to a new insertion location that matches a specific tetra- or pentanucleotide target sequence and integrates the ssDNA into the genome.

The role of TnpB in this process remains a mystery. In fact, TnpB is not required for transposition (Kersulyte et al. 2002, Pasternak et al. 2010) and has been shown to inhibit transposition of ISDra2 in \textit{Deinococcus radiodurans} (Pasternak et al. 2013). Therefore, IS605 superfamily transposons that contain only TnpB, often referred to as IS1341, are predicted to be non-autonomous MGEs that rely on TnpA proteins encoded elsewhere in the genome for mobility. From this it can be predicted that HEARO transposons, which typically contain either TnpB or no proteins-coding genes at all, likely function as non-autonomous MGEs that rely on outside transposases. This is consistent with the finding that HEARO-associated TnpB is enriched in genomes containing Y1 serine and tyrosine transposases (Kapitonov et al. 2016).
In this chapter I expand on what is known about HEARO, IS605-\textit{orf}B-I, and IS605-\textit{orf}B-II through bioinformatic analysis of the three motifs. For HEARO, I look at the distribution of HEARO elements by kingdom and phyla and the distribution of HEARO elements per genome. For IS605-\textit{orf}B-I and IS605-\textit{orf}B-II, I look at the frequency with which the motifs associate with \textit{tnp}A and \textit{tnp}B genes, showing that both are sometimes but not always part of autonomous transposons. Finally, all three motifs are compared to other IS605 elements in regard to nucleic acid structure and TnpB domain composition.

\section*{Results and Discussion}

\subsection*{Distribution of HEARO}

HEARO is widespread in prokaryotes, residing in 426 species across both the bacterial and archaeal kingdoms. Within bacteria, HEARO is present in eleven phyla, both Gram-negative and Gram-positive, with particularly high representation in Firmicutes and Cyanobacteria (\textbf{Fig. 1}). HEARO is found in 20 archaeal species and strains, all within the phyla Euryarchaeota and the class Methanomicrobia. The majority of these archaeal examples are in various strains of \textit{Methanosarcina}. The archaeal HEARO elements are most closely related to elements found in Cyanobacteria and Firmicutes, suggesting a crossover event from one of those phyla.

\subsection*{Multiple copies of HEARO frequently occur per genome}

Different classes of transposons occur at different frequencies within host genomes. Transposons that carry beneficial genes such as antibiotic resistance cassettes generally exist only as a single copy within the host, whereas purely selfish transposable elements
often occur multiple times per genome. The limiting factors for selfish transposon propagation are host defense systems (such as CRISPR) and the fitness cost inflicted on the host. A transposable element that kills its host by over propagating also kills itself.

IS605 superfamily transposons are selfish genetic elements, conferring no known benefits to their hosts. For this reason, I sought to systematically explore the fitness cost of HEARO transposons by quantifying the number of copies per host genome. My analysis showed a high level of variability in copy frequency. Even though about half of all HEARO-containing species have only one copy, in some strains the transposon has propagated to extremely high numbers, with one species containing 143 HEARO transposons (Fig. 2). With >75% of species containing ≤4 copies and >90% of species containing ≤11 copies, it is clear that although host defenses and the fitness cost keep copy number low in most species, HEARO transposons efficiently propagate within genomes despite being non-autonomous MGEs.

**Distribution of TnpA and TnpB proteins encoded in HEARO, IS605-orfB-I, and IS605-orfB-II**

HEARO transposons typically encode only TnpB, but a *tnpA* gene is found near the element in rare instances and is common in genomes that contain HEARO (Kapitonov et al. 2016). In the rare instances where TnpA is present, the element is presumably transformed from a non-autonomous to an autonomous transposon. HEARO is also frequently found at genetic loci where no *tnpA* or *tnpB* genes are found (Weinberg et al. 2009). The presence of HEARO without accompanying protein coding genes raises interesting and yet unaddressed questions about the potential for ultra-simplistic non-
autonomous MGEs. If ORF-free HEARO elements can propagate throughout a genome, could miniature ORF-free IS605 variants also exist? Due to the simplicity of such motifs, which would presumably contain only two imperfect palindromes and a tetra- or pentanucleotide target sequence, it would be incredibly difficult to accurately detect such variants bioinformatically.

I was curious to know if ORF-free transposons were common for IS605-orfB-I and IS605-orfB-II and what ORFs were associated with the two motifs. It was immediately apparent that unlike HEARO, IS605-orfB-I and IS605-orfB-II are associated with either TnpA, TnpB, or both in the vast majority of cases. Cases without either ORF were not examined closely because of their rarity and because some ORF-free transposons would be expected simply as a byproduct of inactive transposon decay. Among the elements with ORFs differences observed between the two motifs, the most common array for IS605-orfB-I was for only the tnpB gene to be present, though in slightly over a third of instances tnpA was also present (Table 1). For IS605-orfB-II this trend was reversed, with most elements containing both tnpA and tnpB and a small fraction (~13%) containing only tnpB (Table 1). That suggests that most IS605-orfB-I elements are part of non-autonomous transposons, and most IS605-orfB-II elements are part of autonomous ones.

Comparison of ssDNA Structure and Gene Content between HEARO, IS605-orfB-I, IS605-orfB-II, and other IS605 variants

While there is some variation from one class to another, the canonical IS605 transposon contains two imperfect hairpins at the 5’ and 3’ ends of the insertion sequence. These hairpins contain one bulged nucleotide on the righthand pairing element that allows
TnpA to distinguish between the top and bottom strands (Barabas et al. 2008). In some instances, such as IS608 from *Helicobacter pylori*, a second hairpin is present at the 5’ end (He et al. 2013) (Fig. 3). By contrast, HEARO averages over 300 nucleotides in length and contains three multistem junctions and one pseudoknot (a long-distance base pairing interaction) (Weinberg et al. 2009) (Fig. 3). Though less complex than HEARO, each of the IS605-*orfB* motifs contains one pseudoknot and at least five additional stem regions (Weinberg et al. 2017) (Fig. 3). Whereas HEARO contains a sophisticated structure at the 5’ end of the transposon, IS605-*orfB*-I and IS605-*orfB*-II have highly structured 3’ termini (Fig. 3). Why structure at one end versus the other might be beneficial is unclear at this time. Additional bioinformatics analyses are required to address if HEARO, IS605-*orfB*-I, and IS605-*orfB*-II are unique or if there is a continuum of structures ranging from simple imperfect hairpins to numerous 5’ and 3’ variations.

**Comparison of Protein Domains between HEARO, IS605-*orfB*-I, IS605-*orfB*-II, other IS605 variants, and Cas9**

In addition to homology with IS605 TnpB proteins, the HEARO-associated ORF is a progenitor of Cas9 (Kapitonov et al. 2016). A study searching for Cas9 homologs revealed that the HEARO-associated TnpB (renamed IscB) shares multiple domains with the protein (Kapitonov et al. 2016). Both IscB and Cas9 have an arginine rich helix, an HNH endonuclease domain, and three RuvC domains (Fig. 4). In Cas9 the arginine bridging helix interacts with target DNA and the HNH and RuvC domains each nick one strand of target DNA (Jinek et al. 2014, Nishimasu et al. 2014). Cas9 also contains domains that are not present in IscB, REC domains that bind the guide RNA and a PAM-interacting
(PI) domain that confers PAM specificity (Jinek et al. 2014, Nishimasu et al. 2014). Of the TnpB homologs IscB is the most direct progenitor of Cas9, as they share an HNH endonuclease domain (Kapitonov et al. 2016) (Fig. 4). The only endonuclease domains in other TnpB homologs are those of RuvC, meaning that while canonical IS605 TnpB can nick a single strand of DNA, IscB can make a double-stranded break. Why the HEARO-associated IscB and not other TnpB homologs would require the capacity to make a double-stranded break is unclear, but will hopefully be elucidated once the function of TnpB is understood. Additionally, IscB has lost the helix-turn-helix (HTH) and zinc finger (CCCC) domains present in IS605 TnpB. The TnpB homologs associated with IS605-orfB-I and IS605-orfB-II are more closely related to canonical TnpB than to IscB, though neither homolog contains an N-terminal HTH domain and only the TnpB associated with IS605-orfB-II possesses a CCCC domain (Fig. 4). Once again, without a prescribed function for TnpB, understanding the significance of these differences is difficult.

Conclusions

Natural selection does not preserve complex nucleic acid structures except when they serve an important purpose, even if only for the propagation of a selfish element. In the case of HEARO, IS605-orfB-I, and IS605-orfB-II, this function unknown. One unprecedented hypothesis considered was that HEARO and perhaps also IS605-orfB-I and IS605-orfB-II could possess a catalytic function. Although numerous examples of catalytic DNAs have been evolved in vitro, no naturally occurring deoxyribozymes have been discovered (Silverman. 2016). If naturally occurring deoxyribozymes do exist they would presumably reside in the DNA equivalents of common ribozyme locations (Weinberg et
al. 2019), such as ssDNA transposons and phages. This, in addition to the fact that HEARO is as large and structurally complex as a Group I self-splicing intron (Harris and Breaker. 2018), made it worth asking if, though unprecedented, HEARO and possibly IS605-orfB-I and IS605-orfB-II might function as deoxyribozymes. However, initial experiments to determine if HEARO could take the place of TnpA and excise the ssDNA transposon from the genome have produced only negative findings (unpublished results).

Regardless, the size and complexity of HEARO, IS605-orfB-I, and IS605-orfB-II suggests that they perform a sophisticated biochemical function. Determining that function might offer insight into the function of TnpB. Though, since they reside at different ends of the transposon it is not even clear whether all three motifs perform the same function. Farther research, particularly involving biochemical and genetic approaches, is needed before any conclusions can be drawn on the function of these complex and unusual structures. The discovery of these motifs expands the small field of structured ssDNAs and raises questions about how widespread such elements are within the IS605 superfamily.

The connection between IscB and Cas9 raises new questions. Is the link between Cas9 and a protein associated with one of nature’s most complex ssDNA structures coincidence, or was IscB uniquely positioned to evolve into a precision DNA targeting system because of its coevolution with HEARO? Until the function of HEARO is determined such questions remain impossible to answer, giving all the more reason to study these unusual and fascinating ssDNAs.

**Methods**

**Databases**
Additional examples of the HEARO, IS605-\textit{orfB}-I, and IS605-\textit{orfB}-II motifs were found with the comparative sequence algorithms CMfinder (Yao et al. 2006) and Infernal 1.1 (Nawrocki and Eddy. 2013) as described in Weinberg et al. 2017. The databases consisted of Refseq version 76 for HEARO and Refseq version 80 for IS605-\textit{orfB}-I and IS605-\textit{orfB}-II as well as a previously described collection of microbial environmental sequences (Weinberg et al. 2017).

Phylogenetic distribution was determined by pulling taxonomical data for 10,780 HEARO motifs and sorting by phyla. Examples from environmental databases were removed. Frequency of HEARO elements per genome was determined using that taxonomical data.

\textbf{Determination of co-occurrence with TnpA and TnpB}

Co-occurrence of IS605-\textit{orfB}-I and IS605-\textit{orfB}-II with TnpA and TnpB was determined by individually examining the genetic context of the two genes upstream of each motif in NCBI Sequence Viewer. ORFs without clear annotations were run on blastp to determine if they are homologs of TnpA or TnpB. Environmental reads in which the entire transposon was not present or where completeness was ambiguous were removed from the analysis.
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Figure 1. Distribution of HEARO in Bacteria and Archaea. A chart showing the number of species containing at least one HEARO element across bacterial phyla and within Archaea. All archaeal species are within the phyla Euryarchaeota.
Figure 2. Frequency of HEARO elements per host species. A graph plotting the number of HEARO elements in a species by the number of species that contain that number of HEARO elements.
Figure 3. Comparison of the most common ssDNA structure and gene arrangements for IS605 superfamily members. The most common arrangements of several IS605 variants including ssDNA structure and arrangement of TnpA and TnpB genes. HEARO transposons have also been classified as ISC (Kapitonov et al. 2016). Long-range pseudoknot interactions are shown in purple. Elements shown with identical 5’ or 3’ structures in model can have minor variations in secondary structure between specific examples.
**Figure 4. Comparison of domain architecture of Cas9 and various TnpB proteins.** A diagram showing the domain architecture of Cas9, IscB, and the TnpB homologs associated with IS605-\textit{orfB}-I and IS605-\textit{orfB}-II, and IS605. Abbreviations are as follows: RuvC endonuclease domains (RuvCI, RuvCII, and RuvCIII), arginine-rich bridging helix (R bridging helix), recognition domains (REC), HNH endonuclease domain (HNH), PAM-interacting domain (PI), helix-turn-helix domain (HTH), and zinc finger domain (CCCC).
Table 1. Co-occurrence of IS605-\textit{orfB}-I and IS605-\textit{orfB}-II with TnpA and TnpB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TnpA(-)/TnpB(+)</th>
<th>TnpA(+)/TnpB(-)</th>
<th>TnpA(+)/TnpB(+)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IS605-\textit{orfB}-I</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS605-\textit{orfB}-II</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>